

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Improved global net surface heat flux

James A. Carton, Gennady A. Chepurin, Ligan Chen, and Semyon A. Grodsky

Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742

Corresponding Author: James Carton (carton@atmos.umd.edu)

Key Points:

- Current atmospheric reanalysis heat flux estimates differ by 10-30 Wm^{-2} .
- We identify the errors and reduce them to $< \pm 5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ at most locations.
- The Southern Ocean is shown to be a source of heat to the atmosphere.

28 Abstract

29 Surface heat flux estimates from widely used atmospheric reanalyses differ locally by 10-30
30 Wm^{-2} even in time mean. Here a method is presented to help identify the errors causing these
31 differences and to reduce these errors by exploiting hydrographic observations and the resulting
32 temperature increments produced by an ocean reanalysis. The method is applied to improve the
33 climatological monthly net surface heat fluxes from three atmospheric reanalyses: MERRA-2,
34 ERA-Interim, and JRA-55, during an eight year test period 2007-2014. The results show that the
35 time mean error, as evaluated by consistency with the ocean heat budget, is reduced to less than
36 $\pm 5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ over much of the subtropical and midlatitude ocean. For the global ocean, after all the
37 corrections have been made the eight-year mean global net surface heat imbalance has been
38 reduced to 3.4 Wm^{-2} . A method is also presented to quantify the uncertainty in the heat flux
39 estimates by repeating the procedure with many different atmospheric reanalyses and then
40 examining the resulting spread in estimates. This reevaluation of net surface flux reveals, among
41 other results, that the Southern Ocean is a source of heat to the atmosphere.

42

43 1 Introduction

44 Heat exchange between the atmosphere and ocean is the primary way the ocean gains or loses
45 heat. Even though the seasonal exchange in some regions may be hundreds of Watts per meter
46 squared (Wm^{-2}), a multi-year imbalance of only a few Wm^{-2} is sufficient to explain decadal
47 mixed layer temperature variability as well as the secular trend of ocean warming. Yet while
48 planning documents call for reducing uncertainties in seasonal net surface heat flux estimates to
49 below $\pm 5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ (Taylor et al., 2000; Curry et al., 2004), comparisons among current estimates
50 show differences remaining stubbornly in the range of $10\text{-}30 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ (Yu and Weller 2007; Smith
51 et al., 2010; Cerovecki, et al., 2011; Brunke et al., 2011). Here we describe a method to ingest

52 the recent rich set of global ocean hydrographic observations along with atmospheric reanalysis
53 estimates in a sequential ocean data assimilation reanalysis to improve estimates of seasonal net
54 surface heat flux and to quantify the remaining error. We demonstrate this approach with a
55 series of experiments during the eight year period 2007-2014.

56

57 Annually averaged, net surface heat flux varies from a maximum of $+150\text{Wm}^{-2}$ flowing into the
58 cool eastern equatorial Pacific and Atlantic to a maximum outflow from the north subtropical
59 western boundary current regions of the Kuroshio and Gulf Stream (**Fig. 1**). Along the equator
60 and to a lesser extent over the cool subtropical coastal upwelling zones the high value of net
61 downward flux is due to high rates of shortwave radiation reaching the surface ocean. At low
62 latitude the net cooling effect of outgoing long wave radiation is reduced due to elevated
63 atmospheric humidity and the presence of warm low level clouds.

64

65 The most widely used estimates of surface heat flux are produced by atmospheric reanalyses
66 such as the NASA Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis For Research And Applications version 2
67 (MERRA-2), the European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF)
68 atmospheric reanalysis interim (ERA-Interim), and the Japan Meteorological Agency 55 year
69 reanalysis (JMA-55). These reanalyses compute the turbulent components of net surface heat
70 flux using bulk formulae unique to each reanalysis driven by instantaneous state variables at the
71 lowest model level. The downwelling part of the radiative components are determined by the full
72 column radiative properties of the atmosphere while the upwelling components of radiation are
73 determined by specified surface albedo, surface emissivity, and SST.

74

75 Because reanalysis surface heat flux is a residual of atmospheric reanalysis rather than being
76 directly observed, estimates from different atmospheric reanalysis products easily differ by tens
77 of Wm^{-2} , obscuring potentially interesting climate signals. Seasonal averages of MERRA-2,
78 ERA-Interim, and JRA-55 net surface heat flux products show differences of $\pm 20 \text{ W/m}^2$, in line
79 with the spread of estimates shown in previous intercomparisons (individual components and net
80 flux are shown in *Supporting Information Fig. S1*). In contrast most ocean reanalyses compute
81 turbulent and upwelling radiative components of net surface heat flux by applying a bulk formula
82 to atmospheric variables such as winds, air temperature, and specific humidity at fixed elevation
83 (we'll refer to this as bulk flux forcing) (Large and Yeager, 2009; Valdivieso et al., 2017). The
84 two types of flux estimates can also produce values differing by tens of W/m^2 even when based
85 on the same reanalysis (*Supporting Information Fig. S3*).

86
87 One approach to providing an absolute error estimate is to compare the reanalysis fluxes to
88 fluxes calculated at various ocean mooring sites. For example, one of the most carefully
89 calibrated flux time series, those from the STRATUS mooring in the subtropical southeastern
90 Pacific (20°S , 85°W), has a 2004-2009 mean net surface heating rate of $+32 \text{ W/m}^2$, with a
91 measurement error which is the equivalent of just a few W/m^2 and an additional larger, but rarely
92 quantified error associated with the use of a bulk formula (Zeng and Dickinson, 1998; Holte et
93 al., 2014). At this location, of the three reanalyses we consider MERRA-2 has the lowest net
94 surface heating rate of $+3 \text{ W/m}^2$, ERA-Interim has a net surface heating rate of $+19 \text{ W/m}^2$, while
95 JRA-55 has the highest net surface heating rate of $+28 \text{ W/m}^2$. In another example, at the
96 subtropical northwest (32°N , 145°E) Kuroshio Outflow Experiment (KOE) mooring the 2004-
97 2014 mean net surface rate of cooling is -57 W/m^2 (Zhang et al. 2016). At this location MERRA-

98 2 has the lowest mean net surface cooling rate of the three of -74 W/m^2 while JRA55 is losing
99 heat at a rate of -115 W/m^2 . In contrast, at PAPA in the Alaskan gyre (50°N , 145°W) all three
100 multi-year reanalysis estimates land within the year-to-year variations in observed net surface
101 bulk heat flux estimates (whose average is $\sim +26 \text{ W/m}^2$). Such comparisons are sometimes used
102 to quantify the reanalysis heat flux error. Further comparisons are provided in *Supplementary*
103 *Information*. However, the use of such comparisons to verify the reanalyses is likely circular
104 reasoning since observations from many mooring sites and their service ships have been
105 previously assimilated into the reanalysis data sets. As an alternative in this paper we use the
106 compatibility of the heat flux with the ocean heat budget to quantify our flux error thus bringing
107 the full oceanographic data set to bear on flux evaluation.

108
109 Systematic errors in reanalysis surface fluxes are related to systematic biases in reanalysis
110 surface radiation and surface variables entering the bulk formulas. Recognition of this has led to
111 efforts to de-bias reanalysis variables a posteriori (e.g. Dussin et al. 2016). Later we will present
112 results of an experiment with such a bias-corrected forcing set.

113
114 Ocean-based corrections to net surface heat flux estimates have been derived from ocean
115 previously, either calculated directly (Grist and Josey, 2003), or derived from observation-
116 constrained numerical solutions to the ocean heat budget (Stammer et al., 2004). Unfortunately,
117 prior to the 2000's the ocean observation set was limited, handicapping these efforts. More
118 recent efforts such as that of Cerovecki et al. (2011) and Forget et al. (2015) have been carried
119 out in the context of 4DVar. The calculation of net surface heat flux becomes much easier if
120 averaged over the whole ocean so that advective effects are eliminated and net flux equals ocean

121 heat storage. von Schuckmann and LeTroan (2011) and Levitus et al. (2012) provide objective
122 analysis-based global average warming rates for spans of years since 2000 of $> 1/2 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ while
123 Trenberth et al. (2016) report an ocean reanalysis-based estimate for years 2005-2013 of 0.8
124 Wm^{-2} . These warming rates are widely viewed as reflecting the alteration of atmospheric
125 radiative properties due to the increase in greenhouse gases. The uncertainties reported with
126 these, which are based on assumptions of unbiased Gaussian statistics, are all approximately ± 0.2
127 Wm^{-2} .

128
129 In the current study our goal is to improve atmospheric reanalysis estimates of the spatially
130 varying climatological seasonal net surface heat flux. Our approach follows the theme of
131 Stammer et al. (2004) and Cerovecki et al. (2011) in using ocean data assimilation to constrain
132 the meteorological estimates. However, instead of using 4DVar we use the more common
133 sequential data assimilation, in which temperature and salinity increments are calculated for each
134 assimilation cycle. The misfit between the meteorological forcing and the ocean observations is
135 reflected in these increments and so we examine their statistics to infer errors in surface heat
136 flux. The computational efficiency of our data assimilation algorithm allows us to present
137 multiple experiments in the global domain using a full eddy-permitting ocean general circulation
138 model.

139

140 **2 Data and Methods**

141 The experiments described here are carried out with the global Simple Ocean Data Assimilation
142 v3 (SODA3) ocean reanalysis system for the period 2007-2014. The ocean/sea ice component
143 models are built on Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory MOM5/SIS1 numerics with a

144 quasi-isotropic $28km \times \cos(lat)$ eddy-permitting tripolar grid (Delworth et al., 2012). The model
145 has 50 vertical levels with 10m resolution near-surface. This model uses a third order advection
146 scheme on an Arakawa B-grid with no explicit horizontal diffusion and a scale-selective
147 Smagorinsky viscosity, enhanced in the region of the western boundary currents. In addition,
148 enhanced nearshore tidal mixing is parameterized. Vertical mixing is given by the K-profile
149 scheme of Large et al. (1994). Climatological monthly river discharge is provided by Dai et al.
150 (2009). The initial conditions in January, 2007, common to all experiments, are provided by a
151 preliminary one-year long integration beginning from World Ocean Atlas 2013 potential
152 temperature and salinity (Boyer et al., 2013).

153

154 The SODA3 multivariate sequential data assimilation filter operates on a 10 day update cycle
155 using the incremental analysis updates digital filter of Bloom et al. (1996) and pre-specified error
156 covariances following Carton and Giese (2008). Each 10 days the filter ingests all available
157 temperature and salinity profile observations from the World Ocean Database (Locarnini et al.,
158 2013; Zweng et al., 2013) updated as of fall, 2016. During our eight year target period 2007-
159 2014 this profile data set consists of 2.7×10^6 fairly uniformly distributed profiles, of which 32%
160 are from the ARGO system. The uncertainty for ARGO temperature and pressure observations
161 is likely dominated by representativeness error rather than the small $\pm 0.002K$ and $\pm 2.4dbar$
162 measurement error. In situ and satellite SST observations (Xu and Ignatov, 2010) are also
163 assimilated. The gridded increments of temperature ($\delta\theta = \theta^a - \theta^f$) and salinity ($\delta S = S^a - S^f$)
164 are computed each 10 days.

165

166 Atmospheric reanalyses make available not only near-surface variables at fixed height, but also
167 calculated surface momentum, mass, and heat fluxes. The reanalyses calculate the latter from
168 instantaneous model state variables on the lowest model level, whose height varies in time and is
169 different for each model. Each reanalysis uses their own flux parameterizations and releases
170 fluxes averaged over different forecast intervals (Smith et al., 2010; Brunke et al., 2011; Brodeau
171 et al., 2017). Here we present experiments using two different ways of specifying surface heat
172 flux. For most experiments we use bulk flux forcing based on the same set of generally daily
173 near-surface variables (U_{10m} , T_{2m} , q_{2m} , SLP, and radiative terms) obtained for each of three
174 reanalyses: MERRA-2 (Gelero et al., 2017), ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011), and JRA-55
175 (Kobayashi et al., 2015) and apply the same Coupled Ocean Atmosphere Response Experiment
176 version 4.0 (COARE4) (Edson et al., 2013 describes version 3.5) flux parameterizations to each
177 set of atmospheric state variables. To explore the sensitivity of the results to the choice of bulk
178 formula we describe repeating one set of experiments using an alternative parameterization of
179 Large and Yeager (2009) in *Supporting Information Fig. S4*.

180
181 For MERRA-2 and ERA-Interim we carried out experiments for the full eight year period 2007-
182 2014. For JRA-55 we were only able to obtain seven years of data spanning 2007-2013. As a
183 result of some preliminary experiments it was realized that the MERRA-2 ten meter height winds
184 are weaker than the corresponding ERA-Interim, and JRA-55 winds (*Supporting Information*
185 **Fig. S4**). This weakness is compensated for by MERRA-2 having a surface stress formula that
186 has a larger drag coefficient than COARE4 for moderate winds. To bring the stresses we
187 compute from MERRA-2 winds closer to the released version of ERA-Interim stress we have
188 increased MERRA-2 U_{10m} by 10%. We recognize that this is an overly simplistic, minimalist,

189 correction which deserves a justification more rigorous than that provided in the Supporting
190 Information, although we also note that the more rigorous efforts of Large and Yeager (2009)
191 and Dussin et al. (2016) both end up applying a similar inflation factor to surface winds.

192
193 For each reanalysis surface net shortwave radiation is calculated from daily average downwelling
194 radiation assuming a surface albedo of 6% over the open ocean, increasing to between 40-80%
195 over sea ice (depending on the presence of snow or melt). Surface upwelling longwave radiation
196 is calculated from the Stefan–Boltzmann law assuming a surface emissivity of 1.0. For each
197 experiment a full suite of state and derived variables is saved on the original model grid at 5dy
198 intervals and then remapped onto a uniform $1/2^\circ \times 1/2^\circ$ horizontal grid. Derived variables include
199 the depth of the mixed layer calculated using a 0.003σ criterion from the five-day files. Most of
200 the results presented here were computed using the remapped data set.

201
202 The time average net bulk heat fluxes for the three reanalyses during our period of interest are
203 displayed in **Fig. 1**, left-hand panels. Averaged 70°S - 60°N all three atmospheric reanalyses have
204 net rates of excess global mean ocean heating, with JRA-55 showing a surplus of nearly 20 Wm^{-2} .
205 ². As also shown in *Supporting Information Fig. S2* bulk heat flux differs significantly from the
206 heat flux provided by the reanalysis centers. In experiment soda3.3.3a we compute the
207 climatological seasonal difference between the MERRA-2 bulk heat flux for soda3.3.2a and the
208 MERRA-2 reanalysis net surface flux 2007-2014. We then compute the mean, annual and
209 semiannual harmonics of the difference between MERRA-2 bulk flux and reanalysis flux, add
210 these harmonics as an additional forcing term to the MERRA-2 bulk flux forcing and then repeat
211 the experiment with this augmented bulk heat flux forcing (experiment soda3.3.3a). This two-

212 step procedure (first soda3.3.2a, then soda3.3.3a) has the property that the climatological
 213 seasonal augmented surface heat flux is within $\pm 5\text{W/m}^2$ of the reanalysis heat flux (**Fig. 2**, lower
 214 lefthand panel shows the difference), but at the ocean reanalysis retains feedbacks in which
 215 changes in SST or surface currents can alter surface fluxes.

216

217 To explore the error in net surface heat flux (as represented by the ocean heat budget imbalance)
 218 we construct a perturbation forecast model as is done in incremental 4DVar (e.g. Lorenc, 2003).
 219 We begin with the vertically integrated heat conservation equation (Moison and Niiler, 1998):

220

$$221 \quad \overline{\rho C_p h \frac{D\theta^f}{Dt}} = Q^f \quad (0.0)$$

222

223 where θ^f is the model forecast potential temperature, Q^f is the specified atmospheric
 224 reanalysis heat flux, ρ is water density, C_p is specific heat at constant pressure, and the overbar
 225 represents an average over depth h . For simplicity we have neglected diffusive processes.
 226 Subtracting (0.0) from the analysis heat conservation equation gives an equation for the analyzed
 227 surface heat flux the ocean heat budget expects, Q^a , as a function of Q^f :

228

$$229 \quad Q^a = Q^f + \rho C_p h \left[\frac{D\theta^a}{Dt} - \frac{D\theta^f}{Dt} \right] \quad (0.0)$$

230

231 The right-hand side is nonlinear as it includes components such as the advection of temperature
 232 increments by velocity increments. In incremental 4DVar these terms would be approximated by

233 a Taylor Series expansion. Here we exploit the slow advective and seasonal mixed layer
 234 entrainment timescales of the ocean relative to the length of an assimilation cycle to carry out
 235 even greater simplification.

236

237 The length of a forecast is the length of a data assimilation cycle, $\Delta t = 10$ days. Over this time
 238 interval the ratio of the advective terms to local storage is: $O(U\Delta t/\Delta x)$ where Δx is typically the
 239 horizontal scale of the temperature increments. For $U \sim 0.1$ m/s and a horizontal scale of a few
 240 hundred kilometers or greater the right-hand side of (0.0) is dominated by local storage over
 241 depth h . We choose h to be the depth of the mixed layer, evaluated every 5 days (we require h to
 242 lie in a depth range $20\text{m} < h < 200\text{m}$) on the assumption that during a single forecast cycle any
 243 excess heat will not have time to penetrate below the mixed layer. Thus we have an approximate
 244 relation for the analyzed flux:

245

$$246 \quad Q^a \cong Q^f + \frac{\rho C_p h}{\Delta t} \overline{\delta\theta} \quad (0.0)$$

247

248 Equation (0.0) provides a simple formula for improving atmospheric reanalysis surface heat flux
 249 Q^f based on the imbalance of the ocean mixed layer heat budget and the constraints imposed by
 250 the archive of ocean observations (including the hydrographic sections). Here we limit our
 251 correction of net surface heat flux to the climatological seasonal components of Q .

252

253 One of the terms neglected in deriving (0.0) is the heat stored in the melting-freezing cycle of sea
 254 ice, which in turn is reflected in increments of ice thickness δh_{ice} . In ice-covered regions we

255 must add a term of the form $\rho L \delta h_{ice} / \Delta t$ to account for seasonal heat storage in sea ice, which in
256 the Arctic is similar in size to heat storage in the liquid ocean (Serreze et al., 2007; Carton et al.,
257 2015). To avoid this additional complication we have masked out ice-covered regions in this
258 study.

259

260 **3 Results**

261 We begin by presenting the three seven- to eight-year long reanalysis experiments forced by
262 MERRA-2, ERA-Interim, and JRA-55 bulk flux forcing, and then discuss three additional
263 experiments in which these surface heat and freshwater fluxes are improved based on (1.3) and
264 the equivalent relation for net freshwater flux (**Table 1**). In the numbering system used to
265 identify the experiments the first digit refers to the version of SODA (version 3), the second
266 identifies the surface forcing, and the third refers to the bulk formulae used to calculate surface
267 fluxes ('1' refers to Large and Yeager, 2009, while '2' refers to COARE4). The experiments
268 described below (except in *Section 3.3*) use the COARE4 formulae.

269

270 **3.1 Initial experiments**

271 The time mean mixed layer temperature increments identify a deficit or surplus of downwelling
272 heat flux that the observations are acting to correct. For example, positive increments of mixed
273 layer temperature mean that there is a positive deficit of net surface heat flux. The three
274 experiments show different patterns of deficit/surplus (**Fig. 3**, left-hand column). soda3.3.2a,
275 driven by MERRA-2 bulk fluxes, shows a positive deficit of up to 15 Wm^{-2} in western tropical
276 Pacific extending into the Indian Ocean and the subtropical Atlantic. At higher latitudes and in
277 the eastern ocean the increments become negative indicating a surplus. In contrast, soda3.4.2a,

278 driven by ERA-Interim bulk fluxes, has a distinctly positive deficit of 10-20 Wm^{-2} in the
279 subtropics, but only a weak deficit close to the equator. In the eastern ocean and inshore of the
280 north wall of the Gulf Stream all three have a surplus (negative deficit) of as much as 20 Wm^{-2}
281 or more. JRA55 has a large 20 Wm^{-2} surplus almost everywhere except in areas in the western
282 subtropical Pacific and Indian Oceans.

283
284 A comparison of the three reanalysis net versus bulk surface heat fluxes in *Supporting*
285 *Information (Fig. S3)* shows that the bulk surface heat flux used to force soda3.3.2a is larger in
286 time mean than the reanalysis flux used to drive soda3.3.3a by up to 25W/m^2 , with the largest
287 differences occurring in the western subtropics (compare **Fig. 2** lefthand panels). When
288 reanalysis flux forcing is used, instead of bulk flux forcing, the deficits generally shift to be more
289 positive (**Fig. 2** righthand panels) but do not disappear.

290
291 By averaging the increments through the depth of the mixed layer we obscure some
292 compensating vertical structures. We illustrate the presence of these structures for a region of
293 the subtropical North Pacific (15°N - 35°N , 150°E - 130°W) in **Fig. 4** and the subtropical South
294 Indian Ocean (40°S - 20°S , 40°E - 80°E) in **Fig. 5**. The time mean vertical profile of the
295 temperature increments for these two regions are shown in **Fig. 6** (black curves).

296
297 In the North Pacific our subtropical region spans zones where the mixed layer increments of
298 soda3.3.2a and soda3.7.2a are both positive and negative, while soda3.4.2a is only positive (**Fig.**
299 **3**). In the South Indian soda3.3.2a is near-neutral, soda3.4.2a is positive and soda3.7.2a is
300 negative. These differences are reflected in differences in the sign of the temperature increments

301 within the upper 50 m. At these depths the soda3.4.2a increments are positive and in the range
302 of 0.01 to 0.05K/10dy while soda3.3.2 and soda3.7.2a are negative by a similar amount.

303

304 Despite the differences in the structures of time mean mixed layer temperature increments all
305 three experiments (soda3.3.2a, soda3.4.2a, soda3.7.2a) have positive increments (up to 0.05
306 K/10dy) at the base of the spring and summer thermocline (approximately the depth of the 22°C
307 contour in the North Pacific and the 20°C contour in the South Indian) (**Figs. 4, 5**).

308 This pattern of positive summer, negative winter increments means the observations are
309 strengthening the stratification of the summer mixed layer and weakening the stratification of the
310 winter mixed layer, which we expect means the data assimilation is accelerating winter
311 deepening. One possible explanation for why this same effect shows up in different regions and
312 for different forcings is that we are seeing the impact on mixed layer properties of an ocean
313 model deficiency.

314

315 The mixed layer temperature increments also show some interannual variability. For example,
316 the temperature increments for both soda3.3.2a and soda.3.7.2a are strongly negative both within
317 and below the mixed layer in North Pacific during the winter of 2009-10 (**Fig. 4**). An
318 examination of the historical meteorology shows that the central North Pacific was unusually
319 cold during that winter. Thus the negative temperature increments within and below the mixed
320 layer may indicate that MERRA-2 and JRA-55 have produced insufficient surface cooling during
321 that unusual winter.

322

323 Finally we note the presence of temperature increments that are apparent at depths well below
324 the mixed layer. At 300m depth the time and geographic mean increments are smaller than
325 $\pm 0.005 K / 10dy$. An increment this small even if it spanned a column 500m deep would only
326 represent a storage error of $10 Wm^{-2}$. The cause of these weak subthermocline temperature
327 increments is still unclear.

328

329 **3.1.1 ERA-Interim**

330 In our previous discussion we identified several features of the time mean mixed layer
331 temperature increments that are common among the three experiments, including a heat surplus
332 in the eastern Equatorial Pacific and Atlantic and inshore of the north wall of the Gulf Stream.
333 To look at those regions in more detail we focus on one experiment: soda3.4.2, driven by ERA-
334 Interim forcing. A histogram of the temperature increments in the whole latitude band $60^{\circ}S$ -
335 $60^{\circ}N$ in **Fig. 7** shows a skewed distribution with a most likely imbalance of $10 Wm^{-2}$, and with
336 many regions where the imbalance is $\pm 20 Wm^{-2}$ or greater.

337

338 Along the Pacific equator the vertical profile with longitude shows increments concentrated at
339 thermocline depths (approximately the depth of the $20^{\circ}C$ isotherm) (**Fig. 8** left-hand panel). The
340 increments penetrate into the mixed layer only in the east. Thus the heat deficit in the eastern
341 equatorial Pacific in **Fig. 8** is a manifestation of a dipole pattern of temperature increments that is
342 concentrated within the thermocline. Such a pattern would result from a systematic error in the
343 zonal tilt tendency of the equatorial thermocline. For a mean thermocline vertical stratification
344 of $0.1 K/m$, we estimate the tilt tendency to be $4m/10dy$ too deep in the east and $4 m/10dy$ too
345 shallow in the west. Such a tilt tendency error represents development of a roughly 4%

346 reduction in the 200m mean west to east tilt of the equatorial thermocline during our 10 day
347 assimilation cycle. Since the time mean zonal tilt of the thermocline is controlled by the time
348 mean zonal wind stress, this in turn suggests that the zonal stress used in soda3.4.2 is slightly
349 weaker along the equator than is compatible with the ocean observations, a result consistent with
350 a direct comparison to scatterometer winds (Dussin et al., 2016).

351
352 We next consider a section of the eastern Pacific along 9°N. At that latitude a recent
353 examination of ERA-Interim fluxes by Josey et al. (2014) identified a pattern of depressed
354 specific humidity over the locations of the TAO/Triton moorings, which have been placed at a
355 regular spacing of 15° longitude (170°W, 155°W, 140°W, 125°W, 110°W, 95°W). When used
356 to drive an ocean model Josey et al. point to ocean circulation errors corresponding to errors in
357 the specification of turbulent surface heat flux.

358
359 Here we explore what additional information we can extract from the ocean temperature
360 increments regarding the impact of the TAO/Triton moorings on the ERA-Interim fluxes. We
361 find large ± 0.1 to ± 0.2 K/10dy fluctuations associated with the locations of the moorings but
362 concentrated at the depth of the thermocline (**Fig. 9** lower panel). When integrated over the
363 upper 100m the heat storage increments are up to 60Wm^{-2} (**Fig. 9** upper panel). Because they
364 are large at thermocline depths and only weak near-surface we think it unlikely that they are
365 caused by errors in surface heat flux (as suggested by Josey et al.) and much more likely that
366 they are caused by the erroneous Ekman pumping. The presence of the latter is evident in the
367 wind stress curl variations that also occur every 15° longitude, shown in **Fig. 9** (middle panel).

368

369 The final problem we consider is the band of mixed layer heat surplus that lies inshore of the
370 north wall of the Gulf Stream in all three experiments. A detailed picture of the North Atlantic
371 mixed layer heat deficit/surplus is shown in **Fig. 10** (upper left). The position of the Gulf Stream
372 current lies just offshore of the position of maximum SST gradient (the grey lines in **Fig. 10**),
373 and thus the Gulf Stream lies exactly between the inshore region of mixed layer heat surplus and
374 the offshore region of mixed layer heat deficit. Interestingly, this pattern follows the shape of the
375 continental shelf slope, curving around its eastward extension at 47°N, 45°W (a topographic
376 feature known as Flemish Cap). This jog in the direction of the Gulf Stream is evident in the
377 isolines of observed SST and also in the paths taken by surface drifters (e.g. McClean et al.,
378 2002). Thus the temperature increments are acting to improve the path of the Gulf Stream,
379 causing it to follow the shelf slope instead of heading directly eastward.

380

381 To explore the origin of the dipole pattern in mixed layer temperature increments crossing the
382 Gulf Stream we next examine a vertical cross-section of the mean forecast temperature
383 increments along a representative meridional section (65°W) (**Fig. 10** lower left). In this section
384 the Gulf Stream has a mean latitude of 38°N, while the depth of the permanent thermocline is
385 approximately indicated by the depth of the 10°C isotherm. Again the temperature increments
386 are concentrated along the sloping thermocline and their sign is such as to indicate that the
387 forecast model is acting to reduce that thermocline slope, in effect causing the north wall of the
388 Gulf Stream to slump and thus the geostrophically related strength of the Gulf Stream current to
389 weaken. For thermocline stratification of 0.02K/m the temperature increments indicate the
390 inshore side of the north wall would sink at a rate of 10 m/10dy if not uplifted by the temperature
391 corrections made by the data assimilation.

392

393 **3.2 Flux correction**

394 Based on the discussion above we propose to use (0.0) and the equivalent for freshwater to
395 improve our estimate of climatological monthly net bulk heat flux. To test this proposition we
396 augment the three sets of daily heat fluxes (with a $\pm 50 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ limit on the size of the correction)
397 and then repeat the three experiments with the improved fluxes (soda3.3.2, soda3.4.2, and
398 soda3.7.2; **Table 1**). We then repeat the calculation of mixed layer heat flux deficit for the
399 second set of experiments.

400

401 When averaged 70°S - 60°N the net heating of the ocean prior to correction ranged from 5.2 Wm^{-2}
402 (ERA-Interim) to 19.5 Wm^{-2} (JRA-55) (**Fig. 1** left-hand panels). After correction, the range of
403 global heating estimates is reduced to between 1.5 Wm^{-2} (MERRA-2) and 7.1 Wm^{-2} (**Fig. 1** right-
404 hand panels) If we go one step further and account for the mixed layer heat flux deficit
405 associated with the second set of experiments (**Fig. 3** right-hand panels) we bring the range of
406 heating estimates 70°S - 60°N to between 1.0 Wm^{-2} (JRA-55) and 6.3 Wm^{-2} (ERA-Interim),
407 which begins to approach the $< 1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ level of anthropogenic heating that we expect to be
408 present.

409

410 Prior to flux correction the three time mean net bulk heat fluxes initially differed by $10\text{-}30 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$
411 regionally. After correction the spatial maps of net surface heat flux come to resemble each
412 other much more closely (**Fig. 1** compare left-hand and right-hand columns). Where the initial
413 subtropical and midlatitude heat flux deficits were $\pm 10\text{-}20 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ or more the deficits have been
414 reduced, generally, below our target of $\pm 5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$. The spatial histogram of mean mixed layer

415 heat flux deficits 60°S-60°N for the two experiments using ERA-Interim forcing shows the
416 average heat flux deficit in a 1°x1° box has been reduced to -2.5 to +7.5 Wm⁻² (**Fig. 7**).

417

418 In the initial set of experiments the time mean mixed layer temperature increments were surface
419 trapped – largest in the mixed layer. We find that augmenting the surface fluxes using (0.0)
420 mainly impacts the size of the temperature increments within the mixed layer and reduces these
421 to a size similar to what we find at thermocline depths (**Fig. 6** compare black and red lines).
422 Below the thermocline, at depths of 300m the temperature increments remain small.

423

424 In *Section 3.1* we examined the seasonal increments in the subtropical North Pacific and South
425 Indian Oceans for the initial experiments (**Figs. 4 and 5**). In **Fig. 11** we show the corresponding
426 figures for one of the experiments, soda3.4.2, after correction. Improving heat flux does reduce
427 temperature increments within the upper 50 m, but does not alter the pattern of positive and
428 negative increments at the base of the mixed layer. The lack of impact of changing surface heat
429 flux on the temperature increments at the base of the mixed layer is consistent with the
430 suggestion that those errors result from errors in mixed layer dynamics rather than errors in the
431 rate at which surface heat is supplied.

432

433 Along the equator as well as along 9°N improving fluxes only reduces the increments near-
434 surface in the eastern side of the Pacific, a result consistent with the idea that the equatorial
435 temperature increments, large within the mixed layer, result from systematic errors in surface
436 stress (**Figs. 8, 9**). In the region of the Gulf Stream the impact is also only nearsurface, inshore
437 of the north wall (**Fig. 10**, compare left-hand and right-hand panels), consistent with the idea that

438 the temperature increments aligned with the Gulf Stream front show the effects of error in the
439 model's maintenance of the cross-stream tilt of this front.

440

441 **3.3 CORE2 Forcing**

442 In order to provide daily surface forcing for the Coordinated Ocean Research Experiments
443 (CORE) Large and Yeager (2009) carried out an exercise in which they used a variety of
444 ancillary data sets to adjust downwelling short and longwave radiation and surface variables.
445 This procedure increased wind speeds in the tropics by 10-40%, decreased specific humidity by
446 0.25 to to 1 g/kg and also adjusted radiative terms to match satellite (Zhang et al., 2004) and
447 mooring measurements. Here we examine an experiment, soda3.6.1, driven by a slightly
448 updated version of this daily bulk forcing (CORE2), produced using the Large and Yeager
449 (2009) bulk formulas. Since this forcing was not available after 2009 we have carried out this
450 experiment for the 29 year period 1980-2009, but limit our analysis to the last eight years (2001-
451 2009). In all other aspects the experimental setup is the same as before. The resulting CORE2
452 bulk heat flux is rather similar to the JRA-55 bulk heat flux with a high net rate of heat entering
453 the ocean (compare **Fig. 12** to **Fig. 1** lower left). The ocean heat budget reacts to this excess
454 heating by showing a large heat surplus (negative deficit) throughout much of the ocean except
455 the western Pacific and North Atlantic.

456

457 **3.4 Improved net surface heat flux**

458 To construct our final estimate of net surface heat flux displayed in **Fig. 13** we average the
459 estimates coming from the three atmospheric reanalyses after correction and after adding their
460 mixed layer heat flux deficits (**Fig. 3**, right-hand side). The broad features of the seasonal and

461 annual mean maps are consistent with previously published estimates (e.g. Grist and Josey, 2003;
462 Large and Yeager, 2009). Heat enters the ocean in the summer hemisphere and exits in the
463 winter hemisphere. Averaging across many seasons, heat enters along the equator in all three
464 ocean basins as well as in the eastern upwelling zones of the Atlantic and Pacific. Heat exits the
465 ocean from warm subtropical western boundary currents and the Southern Ocean.

466

467 If we view the ocean basins separately, the Pacific, because of its vast size, is the place where
468 most heat, $+1.3 \times 10^{15}$ W, enters the ocean (basin-integrated heating rates are given in *Supporting*
469 *Information, Table S1*). Dividing this number by the area of the Pacific gives a basin-average
470 heating rate of 8.4 Wm^{-2} . The net heating of the Atlantic Ocean is half as large as the Pacific
471 (5.6×10^{14} W) as a result of its smaller width and the cooling occurring in the subpolar North
472 Atlantic. Additional cooling occurs in the Barents Sea region of the Arctic Ocean and some
473 other areas exposed at high latitudes. However, the contribution to total energy flux of these
474 areas is small. The net contribution of the Indian Ocean to the Earth's heat budget is even
475 weaker (8×10^{12} W), because heat uptake into the northern Indian Ocean is largely compensated
476 for by heat loss from the southern Indian Ocean.

477

478 **3.3.1 The Southern Ocean**

479 From a time mean Eulerian perspective the meridional circulation in the upper 2 km of the
480 Southern Ocean is dominated by the overturning Deacon Cell, with wind-driven equatorward
481 transport near-surface, sinking along the northern flank of the Circumpolar Current, and
482 upwelling on its southern flank near 60°S (Doos and Webb, 1994). Stability considerations
483 require a source of buoyancy for the accompanying water mass transformation. Many previous

484 studies have suggested that the necessary buoyancy is supplied by net surface heating (e.g. Speer
485 et al., 2000). The idea that the Southern Ocean is being warmed by the atmosphere, however, is
486 surprising given the severe winter weather conditions which occur there, and has provoked some
487 complicated explanations (Czaja and Marshall, 2015). In this estimate we find that the Southern
488 Ocean is actually losing heat to the circumpolar atmosphere at a rate of -6.3×10^{14} W. If we are
489 are right about the sign of this flux then another buoyancy source such as net freshwater flux, as
490 originally suggested by Doos and Webb (1994), needs to be invoked to maintain the Deacon
491 Cell.

492

493 **4 Summary**

494 Net surface heat flux estimates produced by different atmospheric reanalyses differ by amounts
495 that substantially exceed the size of interesting climate signals, as well as our target of ± 5 Wm⁻².
496 In many previous studies the accuracy of net surface heat flux estimates over the ocean has been
497 evaluated by comparison to moored or shipboard measurements, but as we point out such
498 evaluations are frequently based on circular reasoning. This study explores what can be learned
499 about errors in net surface heat flux by examining temperature increment ($\theta^a - \theta^f$) statistics
500 produced by a sequential ocean data assimilation reanalysis. We explore this mixed layer heat
501 budget approach in a series of experiments carried out during the data-rich period 2007-2014
502 during which such an experiment produces nearly 300 short 10 day long forecasts. These short
503 forecasts are less affected by slower processes such as advection and seasonal entrainment than
504 an eight year simulation making it easier to use this mixed layer heat budget to improve
505 estimates of net surface heat flux. An alternative approach to improving bulk surface fluxes by

506 adjusting radiative fluxes and surface variables to match other observation sets (e.g. Large and
507 Yeager, 2009; Dussin et al., 2016) is discussed in *Section 3.3*.

508
509 The temperature increments are produced by assimilating the full set of historical hydrographic
510 observations of which, for example, the RAPID section along 26°N is a small subset
511 (Cunningham, et al., 2007). To exploit the complete set of information contained in the
512 temperature increment statistics we derive an approximate form of the incremental heat budget
513 leaving us with a simple formula for improving net surface heat flux based on the temperature
514 increments integrated through the oceanic mixed layer. We apply this formula to identify the
515 seasonal error and improve the estimates of three widely used reanalysis heat fluxes: MERRA-2,
516 ERA-Interim, and JRA-55. Over the subtropical gyres we find that on average ERA-Interim
517 supplies the ocean with 10-20 Wm^{-2} too little heat, while JRA-55 has excess heating by a similar
518 amount.

519
520 Our assumption that errors in net surface heat flux are accumulated only within the oceanic
521 mixed layer helps us to reduce the impact of error sources whose impact is concentrated at
522 deeper levels (e.g. **Fig. 9**). For example, along the Pacific Equator one such additional error
523 source is the impact of zonal wind stress error on zonal tilt of the thermocline. In a second
524 example, just north of the Pacific Equator a mismatch of reanalysis background winds and the
525 observations from TAO/Triton moorings cause spurious variations in upwelling at 15°
526 longitude, and that in turn causes a spurious series of shifts in thermocline depth. In a third
527 example, in the North Atlantic a dipole pattern of thermocline temperature increments oriented
528 perpendicular to the path of the Gulf Stream is the result of the inability of the ocean model to

529 properly maintain the north wall of the . In each of these examples the impact of the thermocline
530 temperature increments on our heat flux error estimates is mitigated by limiting our attention to
531 the mixed layer and reducing the impact of errors in wind stress and model physics on our ocean
532 heat budget.

533
534 In the second part of this study we test our increment-based approach to balancing the ocean heat
535 budget by improving the seasonal components of net surface flux from the three atmospheric
536 reanalyses: MERRA-2, ERA-Interim, and JRA-55; and then repeat the ocean reanalysis
537 experiments with the improved fluxes. We find that improving the fluxes reduces the mean
538 temperature increments in the mixed layer by as much as a factor of five. Repeating the
539 calculation of seasonal heat flux deficit on the modified fluxes reduces the error to within
540 $\pm 5 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ throughout much of the subtropical and midlatitude ocean. Averaged 70°S - 60°N our
541 estimates of net surface heating rate range from a minimum of 1.0 to a maximum of 6.3 Wm^{-2} .
542 Further correction, accounting for the mixed layer heat deficit calculated for our second set of
543 experiments and expanding to a global domain reduces our estimate of global surface flux
544 imbalance to 3.4 Wm^{-2} . This global imbalance is still larger than estimates of the decadal
545 global ocean heating $[\partial(\iiint \rho C_p \theta^a dvol) / \partial t] / area$ of less than 1 Wm^{-2} reported in the
546 *Introduction*, suggesting that we still are not at the point where we can track the excess heating
547 of the ocean by inspection of analyses of surface flux.

548
549 An unanticipated consequence of this work is that we find the Southern Ocean to be a source of
550 heat to the atmosphere, a result that makes intuitive sense, but differs from many previous

551 studies. This result, if correct, puts minimum constraints on the amount of buoyancy contributed
552 to the Southern Ocean by net freshwater flux.

553
554 In the *Introduction* it was pointed out that the approach taken in this study bears a close
555 relationship to the 4DVar methodology adopted by the Estimating the Circulation and Climate of
556 the Ocean (ECCO). In **Fig. 14** we compare the time mean corrections to ERA-Interim net
557 surface flux produced by this study with the corresponding time mean corrections obtained by
558 the recent ECCO4 release 1 (Forget et al., 2015). The patterns of the corrections obtained using
559 these two approaches are indeed qualitatively similar, showing a reduction of heat going into the
560 ocean at high latitudes and in the eastern equatorial regions and a weak increase in the heating of
561 the central and western subtropical gyres.

562
563 In this preliminary study we have limited ourselves to considering only the climatological
564 seasonal component of surface heat flux. We think the same approach can be used to improve net
565 surface heat flux at monthly resolution. We have avoided improving heat flux over regions with
566 seasonal sea ice. When sea ice is present the increment temperature conservation equation, (0.0),
567 must be augmented to account for the heat stored in freezing and melting. Finally, we note that
568 the same approach can be used to improve estimates of net surface freshwater flux.

569 **Acknowledgments, Samples, and Data**

570 Computing resources were provided by the Computational and Information Systems Laboratory
571 of NCAR. The complete hydrographic data set was obtained from the NOAA National Center
572 for Environmental Information World Ocean Database (www.nodc.noaa.gov). In situ SST
573 observations were obtained from the ICOADS archive which is hosted by the U.S. National

574 Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (icoads.noaa.gov/e-doc). Satellite AVHRR Pathfinder
575 Version 5.2 (PFV5.2) SSTs were obtained from the US National Oceanographic Data Center and
576 GHRSSST (pathfinder.nodc.noaa.gov). PFV5.2 is an updated version of the Pathfinder Version
577 5.0 and 5.1 collection described in Casey et al. (2010). ACSPO MODIS SST data are provided
578 by NOAA STAR. We strongly recommend contacting NOAA SST team led by A. Ignatov
579 before the data are used for any publication or presentation. We are indebted to our data
580 providers. The MERRA-2 atmospheric reanalysis is available through NASA's Science Mission
581 Directorate (gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2/data_access/). The ERA-Interim
582 reanalysis was obtained from the European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecasts
583 (apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/levtype=sfc). The JRA-55, produced by the
584 Japanese Meteorological Agency, was obtained from the NCAR Research Data Archive
585 (rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds628.0/). The SODA3 reanalysis data sets are all available through
586 www.soda.umd.edu. We gratefully acknowledge the Physical Oceanography Program of the
587 National Science Foundation (OCE1233942) for providing financial support to the authors for
588 this work.

589 **References**

- 590 Bloom, S.C., L.L. Takacs, A.M. Da Silva, and D. Ledvina, 1996: Data assimilation using
591 incremental analysis updates, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **124**, 1256-1271 DOI:
592 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1996\)124<1256:DAUIAU>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1996)124<1256:DAUIAU>2.0.CO;2)
- 593 Brodeau, L., B. Barnier, A.-M. Treguier, T. Penduff, and S. Gulev, 2010: An ERA40-based
594 atmospheric forcing for global ocean circulation models, *Ocean Mod.*, **31**, 88-104. DOI:
595 [10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.10.005)

- 596 Boyer, T.P., J. I. Antonov, O. K. Baranova, C. Coleman, H. E. Garcia, A. Grodsky, D. R.
597 Johnson, R. A. Locarnini, A. V. Mishonov, T.D. O'Brien, C.R. Paver, J.R. Reagan, D.
598 Seidov, I. V. Smolyar, and M. M. Zweng, 2013: World Ocean Database 2013, NOAA
599 Atlas, NESDIS, **72**, S. Levitus, Ed., A. Mishonov, Technical Ed.; Silver Spring, MD, 209
600 pp., <http://doi.org/10.7289/V5NZ85MT>
- 601 Brodeau, L., B. Barnier, S.K. Gulev, and C. Woods, 2017: Climatologically Significant Effects
602 of Some Approximations in the Bulk Parameterizations of Turbulent Air–Sea Fluxes. *J.*
603 *Phys. Oceanogr.*, **47**, 5–28, doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-16-0169.1.
- 604 Brunke, M. A., Z. Wang, X. B. Zeng, M. Bosilovich and C. L. Shie, 2011: An assessment of the
605 uncertainties in ocean surface turbulent fluxes in 11 reanalysis, satellite-derived, and
606 combined global datasets, *J. Clim.*, **24**, 5469-5493.
- 607 Carton, J.A., and B.S. Giese, 2008: A reanalysis of ocean climate using Simple Ocean Data
608 Assimilation (SODA), *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **136**, 2999–3017,
609 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2007MWR1978.1>.
- 610 Carton, J. A., Y. Ding, and K. R. Arrigo, 2015: The seasonal cycle of the Arctic Ocean under
611 climate change, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**, 7681–7686, doi:10.1002/2015GL064514.
- 612 Cerovecki, I., L. D. Talley and M. R. Mazloff 2011: A Comparison of Southern Ocean Air-Sea
613 Buoyancy Flux from an Ocean State Estimate with Five Other Products, *J. Clim.*, **24**,
614 6283-6306.
- 615 Cunningham, S.A., T. Kanzow, D. Rayner, M.O. Baringer, W.E. Johns, J. Marotzke, H.R.
616 Longworth, E.M. Grant, J. J.-M. Hirschi, L.M. Beal, C.S. Meinen, and H.L. Bryden,
617 2007: Temporal Variability of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation at 26.5N,
618 *Science*, **317**, 935. DOI 10.1126/science.1141304.

- 619 Curry, J. A., et al. ,2004: SEAFLEX, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **85**, 409–424,
620 doi:10.1175/BAMS-85-3-409.
- 621 Czaja, A., and J. Marshall, 2015: Why is there net surface heating over the Antarctic
622 Circumpolar Current, *Ocean Dynam.*, **65**, 751–760. DOI 10.1007/s10236-015-0830-1
- 623 Dai, A., T. Qian, K. E. Trenberth, and J. D. Milliman, 2009: Changes in continental freshwater
624 discharge from 1948-2004, *J. Clim.*, **22**, 2773-2791.
- 625 Dee, D.P., with 35 co-authors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and
626 performance of the data assimilation system. *Quart. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **137**, 553-597,
627 DOI: 10.1002/qj.828.
- 628 Delworth, T.L., A. Rosati, W. Anderson, Alistair J. Adcroft, V. Balaji, Rusty Benson, Keith
629 Dixon, Stephen M. Griffies, Hyun-Chul Lee, Ronald C. Pacanowski, Gabriel A. Vecchi,
630 Andrew T. Wittenberg, Fanrong Zeng, and Rong Zhang, 2012: Simulated Climate and
631 Climate Change in the GFDL CM2.5 High-Resolution Coupled Climate Model. *J. Clim.*,
632 **25**, 2755–2781. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00316>.
- 633 Doos, K., and D.J. Webb, 1994: The Deacon Cell and other meridional cells of the Southern
634 Ocean, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **24**, 429-442.
- 635 Dussin, R., B. Barneir, L. Brodeau, and J.M. Molines, 2016: The making of the DRAKKAR
636 forcing set DFS5 DRAKKAR/MyOcean Report 01-04-16. [https://www.drakkar-](https://www.drakkar-ocean.eu/publications/reports/report_DFS5v3_April2016.pdf)
637 [ocean.eu/publications/reports/report_DFS5v3_April2016.pdf](https://www.drakkar-ocean.eu/publications/reports/report_DFS5v3_April2016.pdf). Accessed August, 2017.
- 638 Edson, J. B., and Coauthors, 2013: On the exchange of momentum over the open ocean. *J. Phys.*
639 *Oceanogr.*, **43**, 1589–1610, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-12-0173.1.
- 640 Forget, G., J. M. Campin, P. Heimbach, C. Hill, R. M. Ponte, and C. Wunsch, 2015: ECCO
641 version 4: An integrated framework for non-linear inverse modeling and global ocean

- 642 state estimation. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **8**, 3071–3104, doi:[https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-3071-2015)
643 3071-2015.
- 644 Gelaro, R., and Coauthors, 2017: The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and
645 Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2). *J. Climate*, **30**, 5419–5454,
646 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1>.
- 647 Grist, J.P., and S.A. Josey, 2003: Inverse analysis adjustment of the SOC air-sea flux
648 climatology using ocean heat transport constraints, *J. Clim.*, **16**, 3274-3295. DOI:
649 10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<3274:IAAOTS>2.0.CO;2
- 650 Holte, J., F. Straneo, J. T. Farrar, and R. A. Weller, 2014: Heat and salinity budgets at the Stratus
651 mooring in the southeast Pacific, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **119**, 8162–8176, doi:10.1002/
652 2014JC010256. Josey, S. A., L. Yu, S. Gulev, X. Jin, N. Tilinina, B. Barnier, and L. Brodeau,
653 2014: Unexpected impacts of the Tropical Pacific array on reanalysis surface
654 meteorology and heat fluxes, *Geophys. Res. Letts.*, **41**, 6213–6220,
655 doi:10.1002/2014GL061302.
- 656 Kobayashi, S., Y. Ota, Y. Harada, A. Ebita, M. Moriya, H. Onoda, K. Onogi, H. Kamahori, C.
657 Kobayashi, H. Endo, K. Miyaoka, and K. Takahashi, 2015: The JRA-55 Reanalysis:
658 general specifications and basic characteristics, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan*, **93**, 5–48
659 DOI:10.2151/jmsj.2015-001
- 660 Large, W.G., J.C. McWilliams, and S.C. Doney, 1994: Oceanic vertical mixing: a review and a
661 model with a nonlocal boundary layer parameterization. *Rev. Geophys.*, **32**, 363-403.
- 662 Large, W. G. and S. G. Yeager, 2009: The global climatology of an interannually varying air-sea
663 flux data set, *Clim. Dynam.*, **33**, 341-364.

- 664 Levitus, S., et al., 2012: World ocean heat content and thermosteric sea level change (0–2000 m)
665 1955–2010, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39**, L10603, doi:10.1029/2012GL051106.
- 666 Locarnini, R. A., A. V. Mishonov, J. I. Antonov, T. P. Boyer, H. E. Garcia, O. K. Baranova, M.
667 M. Zweng, C. R. Paver, J. R. Reagan, D. R. Johnson, M. Hamilton, and D. Seidov, 2013.
668 World Ocean Atlas 2013, Volume 1: Temperature. S. Levitus, Ed., A. Mishonov
669 Technical Ed.; NOAA Atlas NESDIS 73, 40 pp.
- 670 Lorenc, A.C. 2003. Modelling of error covariances by 4D-Var. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **129**,
671 3167–3182.
- 672 McClean, J.L., P. Poulain, J.W. Pelton, and M.E. Maltrud, 2002: Eulerian and Lagrangian
673 Statistics from Surface Drifters and a High-Resolution POP Simulation in the North
674 Atlantic. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **32**, 2472–2491, doi: 10.1175/1520-0485-32.9.2472.
- 675 Moisan, J. R., and P. P. Niiler, 1998: The seasonal heat budget of the North Pacific: net heat flux
676 and heat storage rates (1950–1990), *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **28**, 401–421.
- 677 von Schuckmann, K., and P.-Y. Le Traon, 2011: How well can we derive global ocean indicators
678 from Argo data?, *Ocean Sci.*, **7**, 783–791, doi:10.5194/osd-8-999-2011.
- 679 Serreze, M. C., A. P. Barrett, A. G. Slater, M. Steele, J. L. Zhang, and K. E. Trenberth, 2007:
680 The large-scale energy budget of the Arctic, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, D11122,
681 doi:10.1029/2006JD008230.
- 682 Smith, S. R., P. J. Hughes and M. A. Bourassa, 2010: A comparison of nine monthly air-sea flux
683 products, *Int. J. Climatol.*, **31**, 1002–1027, doi:10.1002/joc.2225.
- 684 Speer, K., S. R. Rintoul, B. Sloyan, 2000. The diabatic Deacon cell, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **30**,
685 3212–3222.

- 686 Stammer, D., K. Ueyoshi, A. Kohl, W. G. Large, S. A. Josey and C. Wunsch, 2004: Estimating
687 air-sea fluxes of heat, freshwater, and momentum through global ocean data assimilation,
688 *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**, 16.
- 689 Taylor, P. K., Ed., 2000: Intercomparison and validation of ocean-atmosphere energy flux
690 fields—Final report of the JointWCRP/SCOR Working Group on Air–Sea Fluxes. WMO
691 Rep. WCRP-112, WMO/TD-1036, 306 pp. (www.noc.soton.ac.uk/ooc/WGASF/)
- 692 Trenberth, K.E. J.T. Fasullo, K. von Schuckmann, and L. Cheng, 2016: Insights into Earth’s
693 energy imbalance from multiple sources, *J. Clim.*, **29**, 7495-7505. DOI: 10.1175/JCLI-D-
694 16-0339.1
- 695 Valdivieso, K. Haines, M. Balmaseda, Y.-S. Chang, M. Drevillon, N. Ferry, Y. Fujii, A. Köhl, A.
696 Storto, T. Toyoda, X. Wang, J. Waters, Y. Xue, Y. Yin, B. Barnier, F. Hernandez, A.
697 Kumar, T. Lee, S. Masina, and K. A. Peterson, 2017: An assessment of air–sea heat
698 fluxes from ocean and coupled reanalyses, *Clim. Dyn.*, doi:10.1007/s00382-015-2843-3.
- 699 Xu, F. and A. Ignatov, 2010: Evaluation of in situ sea surface temperatures for use in the
700 calibration and validation of satellite retrievals, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, C09022,
701 doi:10.1029/2010JC006129.
- 702 Yu, L. S., and R. A. Weller, 2007: Objectively analyzed air-sea heat fluxes for the global ice-free
703 oceans (1981-2005), *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, **88**, 527-539. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-88-4-
704 527.
- 705 Zhang Y, W. Rossow, A. Lacis, V. Oinas, and M. Mishchenko, 2004: Calculation of radiative
706 flux profiles from the surface to top-of atmosphere based on ISCCP and other global data
707 sets: refinements of the radiative transfer model and input data. *J. Geophys Res.*, **109**,
708 D19105, doi:10.1029/2003JD004457

709 Zhang, D., M. F. Cronin, C. Wen, Y. Xue, A. Kumar, and D. McClurg, 2016: Assessing surface
710 heat fluxes in atmospheric reanalyses with a decade of data from the NOAA Kuroshio
711 Extension Observatory, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **121**, 6874–6890, doi:10.1002/
712 2016JC011905.

713 Zeng, X., M. Zhao, and R.E. Dickinson, 1998: Intercomparison of bulk aerodynamic algorithms
714 for the computation of sea surface fluxes using TOGA COARE and TAO data. *J.*
715 *Climate*, **11**, 2628–2644, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1998)011<2628:IOBAAF>2.0.CO;2)
716 [0442\(1998\)011<2628:IOBAAF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1998)011<2628:IOBAAF>2.0.CO;2)

717 Zweng, M.M, J.R. Reagan, J.I. Antonov, R.A. Locarnini, A.V. Mishonov, T.P. Boyer, H.E.
718 Garcia, O.K. Baranova, D.R. Johnson, D. Seidov, M.M. Biddle, 2013: World Ocean
719 Atlas 2013, Volume 2: Salinity. S. Levitus, Ed., A. Mishonov Technical Ed.; NOAA
720 Atlas NESDIS, **74**, 39 pp.

721

722 **Figure Legends**

723 **Fig. 1** Time mean net surface heat flux into the ocean for the six experiments listed in **Table 1**.
724 Units are Wm^{-2} . Panels on the left (BEFORE) show fluxes based on atmospheric reanalysis
725 near-surface variables (soda3.3.2a, soda3.4.2a, soda3.7.2a). The spatial averages 70°S - 60°N are
726 shown in the upper right of each panel. Panels on the right (AFTER) show fluxes after
727 application of (1.3).

728

729 **Fig. 2** Comparison of heat flux and heat flux deficits when MERRA-2 reanalysis heat flux versus
730 bulk heat flux forcing is used. Upper left panel shows MERRA-2 net surface heat flux averaged
731 2007-2014. Middle and bottom left panels show the difference in the net surface heat flux

732 forcing for soda3.3.2a (bulk) and soda 3.3.3a (reanalysis), also averaged 2007-2014. Righthand
733 panels show corresponding mixed layer heat flux deficits from (1.3). Units are W/m^2 .

734

735 **Fig. 3** Time mean mixed layer heat flux deficit from (1.3) evaluated for six experiments listed in
736 **Table 1**. Left-hand panel shows results for the initial experiments: soda3.3.2a, soda3.4.2a, and
737 soda3.7.2a. Right-hand panel shows the mixed layer heat flux deficit for the experiments using
738 the improved net surface heat fluxes: soda3.3.2, soda3.4.2, and soda3.7.2. The spatial averages
739 $70^{\circ}S-60^{\circ}N$ are shown in the upper right of each panel. Units are Wm^{-2} .

740

741 **Fig. 4** Monthly forecast temperature increments in the subtropical North Pacific ($15^{\circ}N-35^{\circ}N$,
742 $150^{\circ}E-130^{\circ}W$) with depth and time for the years 2007-2011. The mixed layer depth
743 (approximately the $18^{\circ}C$ isotherm depth), varies from 20-30 m in summer to 90 m or more in
744 late winter. Units are $K/10dy$. Grey contours show isotherms in this domain at 2K intervals.

745

746 **Fig. 5** Monthly forecast temperature increments in the subtropical South Indian Ocean ($40^{\circ}S-$
747 $20^{\circ}S$, $40^{\circ}E-80^{\circ}E$) with depth and time for the years 2007-2011. The mixed layer depth
748 (approximately the $20^{\circ}C$ isotherm depth), varies from 10 m in summer to 100 m or more in late
749 winter. Units are $K/10dy$. Grey contours show isotherms at 2K intervals.

750

751 **Fig. 6** Mean forecast temperature increments (upper panels) in the subtropical North Pacific
752 ($15^{\circ}N-35^{\circ}N$, $150^{\circ}E-130^{\circ}W$), and (lower panels) the subtropical South Indian Ocean ($40^{\circ}S-20^{\circ}S$,
753 $40^{\circ}E-80^{\circ}E$) with depth. Units are $K/10dy$. Black shows experiments before correction

754 (soda3.3.2a, soda3.4.2a, and soda3.7.2a), red shows experiments after correction (soda3.3.2,
755 soda3.4.2, and soda3.7.2).

756

757 **Fig. 7** Histogram of time mean soda3.4.2a (black) and soda3.4.2 (red) mixed layer heat flux
758 deficits for the $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ squares in the latitude range between 60°S - 60°N . The spread of the
759 deficits declines from 13.2 Wm^{-2} before heat flux correction to 6.2 Wm^{-2} after heat flux
760 correction.

761

762 **Fig. 8** Mean temperature increments for experiments using ERA-Interim fluxes, with and
763 longitude at 0°N . (Left) before flux correction (soda3.4.2a). (Right) After flux correction
764 (soda3.4.2). Units are $\text{K}/10\text{dy}$. Mean positions of isotherms are shown in grey.

765

766 **Fig. 9** Forecast temperature increments along 9°N for experiments using ERA-Interim forcing.
767 Upper panel shows the mean mixed layer heat deficit before (soda3.4.2a, black) and after
768 (soda3.4.2, red) flux correction (Wm^{-2}). Middle panel shows wind stress curl (10^{-8} N/m).
769 Longitudes of TAO/Triton mooring locations are indicated by vertical lines. Bottom panel
770 shows mean temperature increments with depth. Mean depths of isotherms are shown in grey.

771

772 **Fig. 10** Upper panels: mean heat imbalance (0-75m) in the North Atlantic when forced by ERA-
773 Interim before and after flux correction (soda3.4.2a and soda3.4.2). Units are W/m^2 . Contours of
774 mean SST are superimposed. Lower panels: meridional sections of mean forecast temperature
775 increments along 65°W (location of section indicated by a line in upper right-hand panel) for the
776 same two experiments. Depths of mean temperature isolines are superimposed in grey.

777

778 **Fig. 11** Monthly soda3.4.2 forecast temperature increments (upper panel) in the subtropical
779 North Pacific (15°N-35°N, 150°E-130°W) , (lower panel) in the South Indian Ocean (40°S-20°S,
780 40°E-80°E) with depth and time for the years 2007-2011. Units are K/10dy. Grey contours
781 show lines of constant temperature in this domain at 2K. These panels can be compared to Figs.
782 3 and 4.

783

784 **Fig. 12** Results from experiment soda3.3.3a with CORE2 bulk flux forcing averaged 2001-2009.
785 (left) Net bulk heat flux. Units and contour interval are the same as in **Fig. 1**. (right) Mixed
786 layer heat flux deficit with contour interval and units similar to **Fig. 3**.

787

788 **Fig. 13** Net surface heat flux obtained by averaging the three flux estimates (2007-2014) after
789 flux correction (**Fig. 1**, right-hand panels). Upper panels show seasonal fluxes, lower panel
790 shows the annual mean.

791

792 **Fig. 14** Comparison of mean net surface heat flux correction for this study with that obtained
793 using Time mean net surface heat flux difference from the Estimating the Circulation and
794 Climate of the Ocean (ECCO), version 4 release 1 4DVar (Forget et al., 2015). Left-hand panel
795 shows the difference between SODA3.4.2 and ERA-Interim net surface heat flux while right-
796 hand panel shows the difference between ECCO4 and ERA-Interim net surface heat flux. In
797 both figures the averaging period is 2003-2010 (experiment SODA3.4.2 was repeated over an
798 extended period for this comparison). Units are Wm^{-2} .

799

800 **Table 1** Eight SODA3 data assimilation experiments discussed in this paper. Each begins with
801 the same initial conditions on January 1, 2007 and all assimilate the full suite of
802 observational data, but differ only in prescribed surface fluxes. Three initial experiments use
803 atmospheric reanalysis bulk flux forcing provided by the atmospheric reanalysis centers
804 (soda3.3.2a, soda3.4.2a, and soda3.7.2a). A fourth experiment, soda3.3.3a uses MERRA-2
805 augmented reanalysis forcing. A fifth experiment uses the CORE2 forcing of *Large and*
806 *Yeager* (2009). Three additional experiments (soda3.3.2, soda3.4.2, and soda3.7.2) are
807 carried out with surface heat and freshwater flux modified based on (0.0) and an equivalent
808 relation for net freshwater flux. Most experiments span eight years (2007-2014). Since
809 CORE2 forcing was only available through 2009 that experiment begins in 1980.

810

Experiment	Surface forcing	Time period
3.3.2a	MERRA-2 bulk flux forcing	2007-2014
3.3.2	MERRA-2 bulk flux forcing MERRA-2with modified heat and freshwater flux	2007-2014
3.3.3a	MERRA-2 augmented reanalysis forcing	2007-2014
3.6.1	CORE2 forcing	1980-2009
3.4.2a	ERA-I MERRA-2 bulk flux forcing	2007-2014
3.4.2	ERA-I MERRA-2 bulk flux forcing with modified heat and freshwater flux	2007-2014
3.7.2a	JRA55 MERRA-2 bulk flux forcing	2007-2013
3.7.2	JRA55 MERRA-2 bulk flux forcing with modified heat and freshwater flux	2007-2013

811

812