



Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies

Evaluation Number 18

NASA Panel for Data Evaluation:

J. B. Burkholder
Earth System Research Laboratory
National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration (NOAA)

J. P. D. Abbatt
University of Toronto

R. E. Huie
National Institute of Standards and
Technology

M. J. Kurylo
Goddard Earth Sciences, Technology and
Research Program

D. M. Wilmouth
Harvard University

S. P. Sander
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

J. R. Barker
University of Michigan

C. E. Kolb
Aerodyne Research, Inc.

V. L. Orkin
National Institute of Standards and
Technology

P. H. Wine
Georgia Institute of Technology

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

October, 2015

1.1 Introduction

In Table 1 (Rate Constants for Bimolecular Reactions) the evaluated reactions are grouped into the classes O_x, O(¹D), Singlet O₂, HO_x, NO_x, Organic Compounds, FO_x, ClO_x, BrO_x, IO_x, SO_x, and Metals. Some of the reactions in Table 1 are actually more complex than simple two-body, bimolecular, reactions. To explain the pressure and temperature dependences occasionally measured in reactions of this type, it is necessary to consider the bimolecular class of reactions in terms of two subcategories, direct (concerted) and indirect (nonconcerted) reactions.

A direct, or concerted, bimolecular reaction is one in which the reactants A and B proceed to products C and D without the intermediate formation of an AB adduct that has appreciable bonding, i.e., there is no bound intermediate; only the transition state [AB][#] lies between reactants and products.



The reaction of OH with CH₄ forming H₂O + CH₃ is an example of a reaction of this class.

The rate constants for these reactions can, in general, be reasonably well represented by the Arrhenius expression

$$k(T) = A \times \exp(-E/RT)$$

over the temperature range of atmospheric interest. Very useful correlations between the expected structure of the transition state [AB][#] and the Arrhenius A-factor of the reaction rate constant can be made, especially in reactions that are constrained to follow a well-defined approach of the two reactants in order to minimize energy requirements in the making and breaking of bonds. The recommended parameters, A and E/R, are given in Table 1 as discussed below and the temperature range associated with their recommended use is given in the corresponding reaction note (e.g. "below 400 K"). Rate constants for reactions of this type are not pressure dependent.

However, even for this class of reactions, deviation in the temperature dependence from the simple Arrhenius expression mentioned above may be apparent over the full range of the experimental data considered in the evaluation, and even over the more limited temperature range used to derive the Arrhenius expression recommendation. Deviation from Arrhenius behavior is typically exhibited as curvature in the Arrhenius plot - a concave upward curvature in ln(k(T)) versus 1/T. There are several possible factors that may contribute to this curvature such as multiple reaction channels, the existence of reactant conformers, tunneling, and others. In cases where curvature was experimentally resolved, the reaction note emphasizes the temperature range over which the Arrhenius parameters given in Table 1 are applicable and also provides a recommended three-parameter expression

$$k(T) = A \times (T/298)^n \times \exp(-E/RT)$$

where n is a fit parameter, that better represents the overall temperature dependence.

The indirect or nonconcerted class of bimolecular reactions is characterized by a more complex reaction path involving a potential well between reactants and products, leading to a bound adduct (or reaction complex) formed between the reactants A and B:



The intermediate [AB]^{*} is different from the transition state [AB][#], in that its lifetime substantially exceeds the characteristic time of intermolecular vibrations and, thus, it is considered a bound molecule. Of course, transition states are involved in all reactions, both forward and backward, but are not explicitly shown in the equation above. An example of a reaction of this class is ClO + NO, which normally produces Cl + NO₂. Reactions of the nonconcerted type can have more complex temperature dependences and can exhibit a pressure dependence if the lifetime of [AB]^{*} is comparable to the rate of its collisional deactivation. This arises because the relative rate at which a complex [AB]^{*} decomposes to products C + D or back to reactants A + B is a sensitive function of its internal energy. Thus, in reactions of this type, the distinction between the bimolecular and termolecular classification becomes less meaningful, and it is especially necessary to study such reactions under the temperature and pressure conditions in which they are to be used in model calculations, or, alternatively, to develop reliable theoretical bases for extrapolation of the experimental data. In several cases where sufficient data exist, reactions of this type are treated in Section 2 and included in the corresponding table for termolecular reactions.

As mentioned above, the recommended rate constant tabulation for bimolecular reactions (Table 1) is given in Arrhenius form, $k(T) = A \times \exp(-E/RT)$, and contains the following information:

1. Reaction stoichiometry and products (if known).

2. Arrhenius A-factor: **A**
3. Recommended temperature dependence (“activation temperature”): **E/R**
4. Recommended rate constant at 298 K: **k(298 K)**
5. Rate constant uncertainty factor at 298 K: **f(298 K)** (see below)
6. A parameter used to calculate the rate constant uncertainty at temperatures other than 298 K: **g** (see below)
7. Index number for a detailed note containing references to the literature, the basis of recommendation and, in several cases, alternative methods to calculate the rate constant.

For a few reactions, the recommendations for A, E/R and k(298 K) are italicized in blue font. These represent estimates by the Panel in cases where there are either no literature data, where the existing data are judged to be of insufficient quality to base a recommendation, or where the recommendation is based on an extrapolation of very limited experimental data.

1.2 The Evaluation Procedure

The process of evaluating chemical kinetic data does not conform to a simple set of mathematical rules. There is no “one size fits all” algorithm that can be applied and each reaction must be examined on a case-by-case basis. Consideration of uncertainties in the kinetic and photochemical parameters used in atmospheric models plays a key role in determining the reliability of and uncertainty in the model results. Quite often the cause(s) of differences in experimental results from various laboratories can’t be determined with confidence and making recommendations for the uncertainties of the rate constant is often more difficult than for making recommendations of the Arrhenius parameters themselves. In many cases, investigators suggest possible qualitative reasons for disagreements among datasets. Thus, data evaluators necessarily must consider a variety of factors in assigning a recommendation, including such aspects as the chemical complexity of the system, sensitivities and shortcomings of the experimental techniques employed, similarities or trends in reactivity, and the level of agreement among studies using different techniques.

A recommendation for k(298 K) is typically made by averaging the rate constants from those studies deemed to be of sufficiently high quality / reliability and free from chemical interferences that could have biased the results. In cases where a study provides reliable data over a range of temperatures of atmospheric interest, the value of k(298 K) used in the averaging process is typically obtained from a weighted non-linear least-squares fit to the data from that study, k(T) versus T, assuming equal relative uncertainties in the rate constants reported at the different temperatures. In deriving a recommended Arrhenius temperature dependence (E/R), the selected data sets are examined to ascertain the temperature range over which a standard Arrhenius fit to the data provides an adequate representation. Each data set is then scaled by a constant factor so that the Arrhenius expressions describing the individual data sets give the recommended k(298 K) and a weighted non-linear least-squares fit to all of these scaled data is then made. This typical process is helpful in avoiding biases resulting from systematic errors associated with an individual data set or from the fact that the individual data sets may have been obtained over significantly different temperature ranges. In cases where the selected data sets have been obtained over similar ranges of temperature, a fit to the combined scaled data often yields a value for E/R not very different from that obtained by averaging the E/R values from the individual studies. The recommended Arrhenius pre-exponential factor “A” is then calculated based on the recommended values for k(298 K) and E/R.

1.3 Uncertainty Estimates

The parameters f(298 K) and g given in Table 1 can be used to calculate an estimated rate constant uncertainty at any given temperature, corresponding to approximately one standard deviation, from the following expression:

$$f(T) = f(298\text{ K}) \exp \left| g \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298} \right) \right|$$

where the exponent is an absolute value.

Note that, since f(298 K) and g have been defined to correspond to approximately one standard deviation, f(T) yields a similar uncertainty interval. The more commonly used 95% confidence limits at a given temperature can be obtained by multiplying and dividing the recommended value of the rate constant at that temperature by the factor f²(T). It should be emphasized that the parameter g has been defined exclusively for use with f(298 K) in the

above expression and should not be interpreted as the uncertainty in the Arrhenius activation temperature (E/R). Thus, g is dependent on the value selected for $f(298\text{ K})$. For example, reactions for which $f(298\text{ K})$ is rather large may require only a small value of g to represent an adequate total rate constant uncertainty at other temperatures.

The uncertainty factor $f(298\text{ K})$, corresponding to approximately one standard deviation in the case of normally distributed data, was assigned such that all of the data used in deriving the average are encompassed within the band derived by multiplying and dividing $k(298\text{ K})$ by $f^2(298\text{ K})$, i.e., two standard deviations, which is considered a 95% confidence interval for the evaluation. In some cases, a slightly higher value of $f(298\text{ K})$ may be recommended to encompass outlying data that were not used in the averaging but could not be entirely rejected. The uncertainty factor “ g ” was then selected for use in the $f(T)$ expression described below such that $f^2(T)$ encompasses all of the data used in the evaluation over the temperature range of the recommendation. Neither $f(298\text{ K})$ nor g is derived from a rigorous statistical treatment of the available data, which generally are too limited to permit such analyses and, more importantly, do not follow a normal statistical distribution. Rather, the uncertainty estimation is based on knowledge of the techniques, the difficulties of the experiments, and the potential for systematic errors.

This approach is based on the fact that rate constants are typically known with greater certainty at room temperature where the experimental data are more abundant and often more reliable. The overall uncertainty normally increases at other temperatures where there are fewer data. In addition, data obtained at temperatures far distant from 298 K may be less accurate than at room temperature due to various experimental difficulties or complications.

The uncertainty represented by $f(T)$ is normally symmetric; i.e., the rate constant may be greater than or less than the recommended value, $k(T)$, by the factor $f(T)$. In a few cases in Table 1 asymmetric uncertainties are given in the temperature coefficient. For these cases, the factors by which a rate constant is to be multiplied or divided to obtain, respectively, the upper and lower limits are not equal, except at 298 K where the factor is simply $f(298\text{ K})$.

Finally, there is obviously no way to quantify “unknown” errors. The spread in results among different techniques for a given reaction may provide some basis for an uncertainty estimate, but the possibility of the same, or compensating, systematic errors in all the studies can’t be disregarded. Comparisons among rate constants recommended for similar reactions or for reactions within a homologous series of compounds can also help in the assignment of uncertainty factors. For measurements subject to large systematic errors, the true rate constant may be much further from the recommended value than would be expected and allowed for with any reasonable values of $f(T)$ based on the data available for the evaluation. For example, there have been cases in the past where the recommended rate constants have changed by factors well outside of the uncertainties that had been assigned in the absence of quantitative knowledge of systematic errors. However, as experimental techniques improve together with improved understanding of various reactive processes and with significant expansion of the kinetic and thermodynamic database for the recommendations, exceptionally large changes are becoming less likely.

1.8 NO_x Reactions

1.8.1 Table 1C: NO_x Reactions

Reaction	Temperature Range of Exp. Data (K) ^a	A-Factor	E/R	k(298 K) ^b	f(298 K) ^c	g	Note
$\text{O} + \text{NO} \xrightarrow{\text{M}} \text{NO}_2$		(See Table 2-1)					
$\text{O} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}_2$	199–2300	5.1×10^{-12}	-210	1.04×10^{-11}	1.1	20	C 1
$\text{O} + \text{NO}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{M}} \text{NO}_3$		(See Table 2-1)					
$\text{O} + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{NO}_2$	298–329	1.0×10^{-11}	0	1.0×10^{-11}	1.5	150	C 2
$\text{O} + \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \rightarrow \text{products}$	223–300			$<3.0 \times 10^{-16}$			C 3
$\text{O} + \text{HNO}_3 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_3$	298			$<3.0 \times 10^{-17}$			C 4
$\text{O} + \text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{products}$	228–297	7.8×10^{-11}	3400	8.6×10^{-16}	3.0	750	C 5
$\text{H} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}$	195–2000	4.0×10^{-10}	340	1.3×10^{-10}	1.3	300	C 6
$\text{OH} + \text{NO} \xrightarrow{\text{M}} \text{HONO}$		(See Table 2-1)					
$\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{M}} \text{HNO}_3$		(See Table 2-1)					
$\text{OH} + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{products}$	298			2.2×10^{-11}	1.5		C 7
$\text{OH} + \text{HONO} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO}_2$	278–1400	1.8×10^{-11}	390	4.5×10^{-12}	1.5	+200 –500	C 8
$\text{OH} + \text{HNO}_3 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO}_3$	218–1100	(See Note)			1.2		C 9
$\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{products}$	218–335	1.3×10^{-12}	–380	4.6×10^{-12}	1.3	+270 –500	C10
$\text{OH} + \text{NH}_3 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NH}_2$	228–2360	1.7×10^{-12}	710	1.6×10^{-13}	1.2	200	C11
$\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH}$	183–1270	3.3×10^{-12}	–270	8.0×10^{-12}	1.15	20	C12
$\text{NO}_2^* + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{HONO}$		(See Note)					C13
$\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{M}} \text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2$		(See Table 2-1)					
$\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HONO} + \text{O}_2$	220–358	(See Note)					C14
$\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow \text{products}$	263–338			3.5×10^{-12}	1.5		C15
$\text{HO}_2 + \text{NH}_2 \rightarrow \text{products}$	298			3.4×10^{-11}	2.0		C16
$\text{N} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}$	280–1220	1.5×10^{-11}	3600	8.5×10^{-17}	1.25	400	C17
$\text{N} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}_2$	298			$<2.0 \times 10^{-16}$			C18

Reaction	Temperature Range of Exp. Data (K) ^a	A-Factor	E/R	k(298 K) ^b	f(298 K) ^c	g	Note
N + NO → N ₂ + O	196–3660	2.1×10 ⁻¹¹	-100	3.0×10 ⁻¹¹	1.3	100	C19
N + NO ₂ → N ₂ O + O	223–700	5.8×10 ⁻¹²	-220	1.2×10 ⁻¹¹	1.5	100	C20
NO + O ₃ → NO ₂ + O ₂	195–443	3.0×10 ⁻¹²	1500	1.9×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.1	200	C21
NO + NO ₃ → 2NO ₂	209–703	1.5×10 ⁻¹¹	-170	2.6×10 ⁻¹¹	1.3	100	C22
NO ₂ + O ₃ → NO ₃ + O ₂	259–362	1.2×10 ⁻¹³	2450	3.2×10 ⁻¹⁷	1.15	150	C23
NO ₂ + NO ₃ → NO + NO ₂ + O ₂	236–538	(See Note)					C24
NO ₂ + NO ₃ \xrightarrow{M} N ₂ O ₅		(See Table 2-1)					
NO ₃ + NO ₃ → 2NO ₂ + O ₂	298–1100	8.5×10 ⁻¹³	2450	2.3×10 ⁻¹⁶	1.5	500	C25
NH ₂ + O ₂ → products	295–2300			<6.0×10 ⁻²¹			C26
NH ₂ + O ₃ → products	248–380	4.3×10 ⁻¹²	930	1.9×10 ⁻¹³	3.0	500	C27
NH ₂ + NO → products	200–2500	4.0×10 ⁻¹²	-450	1.8×10 ⁻¹¹	1.3	150	C28
NH ₂ + NO ₂ → products	250–910	2.1×10 ⁻¹²	-650	1.9×10 ⁻¹¹	3.0	250	C29
NH + NO → products	269–3350	4.9×10 ⁻¹¹	0	4.9×10 ⁻¹¹	1.5	300	C30
NH + NO ₂ → products	300	3.5×10 ⁻¹³	-1140	1.6×10 ⁻¹¹	2.0	500	C31
O ₃ + HNO ₂ → O ₂ + HNO ₃	226–300			<5.0×10 ⁻¹⁹			C32
N ₂ O ₅ + H ₂ O → 2HNO ₃	290–298			<2.0×10 ⁻²¹			C33
N ₂ (A,v) + O ₂ → products	80–560			2.5×10 ⁻¹² , v=0	1.5		C34
N ₂ (A,v) + O ₃ → products	298			4.1×10 ⁻¹¹ , v=0	2.0		C35

Shaded areas indicate changes or additions since JPL10-6.

^a Temperature range of available experimental data. This is not necessarily the range of temperature over which the recommended Arrhenius parameters are applicable. See the corresponding note for each reaction for such information.

^b Units are cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹.

^c f(298 K) is the uncertainty factor at 298 K. To calculate the uncertainty at other temperatures, use the expression:

$$f(T) = f(298 \text{ K}) \exp \left| g \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298} \right) \right|$$

Note that the exponent is an absolute value.

1.12 BrO_x Reactions

1.12.1 Table 1G: BrO_x Reactions

Reaction	Temperature Range of Exp. Data (K) ^a	A-Factor	E/R	k(298 K) ^b	f(298 K) ^c	g	Note
O + BrO → Br + O ₂	231–328	1.9×10 ⁻¹¹	-230	4.1×10 ⁻¹¹	1.5	150	G 1
O + HBr → OH + Br	221–554	5.8×10 ⁻¹²	1500	3.8×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.3	200	G 2
O + HOBr → OH + BrO	233–423	1.2×10 ⁻¹⁰	430	2.8×10 ⁻¹¹	3.0	300	G 3
O + BrONO ₂ → NO ₃ + BrO	227–339	1.9×10 ⁻¹¹	-215	3.9×10 ⁻¹¹	1.25	40	G 4
OH + Br ₂ → HOBr + Br	230–360	2.1×10 ⁻¹¹	-240	4.6×10 ⁻¹¹	1.1	50	G 5
OH + BrO → products	230–355	1.7×10 ⁻¹¹	-250	3.9×10 ⁻¹¹	1.4	100	G 6
OH + HBr → H ₂ O + Br	230–360	5.5×10 ⁻¹²	-200	1.1×10 ⁻¹¹	1.1	100	G 7
OH + CH ₃ Br → CH ₂ Br + H ₂ O	233–400	1.42×10 ⁻¹²	1150	3.0×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.07	100	G 8
OH + CH ₂ Br ₂ → CHBr ₂ + H ₂ O	244–375	2.0×10 ⁻¹²	840	1.2×10 ⁻¹³	1.1	100	G 9
OH + CHBr ₃ → CBr ₃ + H ₂ O	230–370	9.0×10 ⁻¹³	360	2.7×10 ⁻¹³	1.05	20	G10
OH + CH ₂ ClBr → CHClBr + H ₂ O	230–376	2.1×10 ⁻¹²	880	1.1×10 ⁻¹³	1.07	100	G11
OH + CHClBr ₂ → CClBr ₂ + H ₂ O	230–330	9.0×10 ⁻¹³	420	2.2×10 ⁻¹³	1.07	20	G12
OH + CHCl ₂ Br → CCl ₂ Br + H ₂ O	230–330	9.4×10 ⁻¹³	510	1.7×10 ⁻¹³	1.07	20	G13
OH + CHF ₂ Br → CF ₂ Br + H ₂ O (Halon-1201)	233–460	7.85×10 ⁻¹³	1300	1.0×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.07	100	G14
OH + CF ₂ Br ₂ → products (Halon-1202)	298	1×10 ⁻¹²	>2200	<5×10 ⁻¹⁶			G15
OH + CF ₃ Br → products (Halon-1301)	460	1×10 ⁻¹²	>3600	<6×10 ⁻¹⁸			G16
OH + CF ₂ ClBr → products (Halon-1211)	373	1×10 ⁻¹²	>3500	<8×10 ⁻¹⁸			G17
OH + CH ₃ CH ₂ Br → products	233–422	2.9×10 ⁻¹²	640	3.4×10 ⁻¹³	1.2	150	G18
OH + CH ₂ BrCH ₂ Br → products	292–366	1.75×10 ⁻¹¹	1290	2.3×10 ⁻¹³	1.15	200	G19
OH + CH ₂ BrCF ₃ → CHBrCF ₃ + H ₂ O (Halon-2301)	280–460	9.5×10 ⁻¹³	1200	1.7×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.2	150	G20
OH + CHFBrCF ₃ → CFBrCF ₃ + H ₂ O (Halon-2401)	279–460	7.3×10 ⁻¹³	1120	1.7×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.2	100	G21
OH + CHClBrCF ₃ → CClBrCF ₃ + H ₂ O (Halothane, Halon-2311)	298–460	1.1×10 ⁻¹²	940	4.7×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.2	150	G22
OH + CHFClCF ₂ Br → CFCICF ₂ Br + H ₂ O	315–372	8.4×10 ⁻¹³	1220	1.4×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.3	200	G23
OH + CF ₂ BrCF ₂ Br → products (Halon-2402)	460	1×10 ⁻¹²	>3600	<6×10 ⁻¹⁸			G24

Reaction	Temperature Range of Exp. Data (K) ^a	A-Factor	E/R	<i>k</i> (298 K) ^b	<i>f</i> (298 K) ^c	<i>g</i>	Note
OH + CH ₂ BrCH ₂ CH ₃ → products	210–480	3.0×10 ⁻¹²	330	1.0×10 ⁻¹²	1.05	50	G25
OH + CH ₃ CHBrCH ₃ → products	210–480	1.85×10 ⁻¹²	270	7.5×10 ⁻¹³	1.05	50	G26
OH + CHBr=CF ₂ → products	250–370	1.3×10 ⁻¹²	–370	4.5×10 ⁻¹²	1.1	20	G27
OH + CFBr=CF ₂ → products	250–370	2.0×10 ⁻¹²	–400	7.6×10 ⁻¹²	1.1	20	G28
OH + CH ₂ =CBrCF ₃ → products	220–370	1.06×10 ⁻¹²	–380	3.8×10 ⁻¹²	1.05	20	G29
OH + CH ₂ =CBrCF ₂ CF ₃ → products	250–370	9.5×10 ⁻¹²	–370	3.3×10 ⁻¹²	1.05	20	G30
OH + CH ₂ =CHCF ₂ CF ₂ Br → products	250–370	8.7×10 ⁻¹²	–200	1.7×10 ⁻¹²	1.1	20	G31
HO ₂ + Br → HBr + O ₂	230–355	4.8×10 ⁻¹²	310	1.7×10 ⁻¹²	1.3	150	G32
HO ₂ + BrO → products	210–360	4.5×10 ⁻¹²	–460	2.1×10 ⁻¹¹	1.15	100	G33
NO ₃ + HBr → HNO ₃ + Br	298			<1.0×10 ⁻¹⁶			G34
Cl + CH ₃ Br → HCl + CH ₂ Br	210–700	1.46×10 ⁻¹¹	1040	4.45×10 ⁻¹³	1.03	50	G35
Cl + CH ₂ Br ₂ → HCl + CHBr ₂	222–395	6.3×10 ⁻¹²	800	4.3×10 ⁻¹³	1.1	50	G36
Cl + CHBr ₃ → CBr ₃ + HCl	273–363	4.85×10 ⁻¹²	850	2.8×10 ⁻¹³	1.3	200	G37
Cl + CH ₂ ClBr → HCl + CHClBr	298	6.8×10 ⁻¹²	870	3.7×10 ⁻¹³	1.2	100	G38
Br + O ₃ → BrO + O ₂	195–422	1.6×10 ⁻¹¹	780	1.2×10 ⁻¹²	1.15	100	G39
Br + H ₂ O ₂ → HBr + HO ₂	298–378	1×10 ⁻¹¹	>3000	<5×10 ⁻¹⁶			G40
Br + NO ₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{M}}$ BrNO ₂		(See Table 2-1)					
Br + NO ₃ → BrO + NO ₂	298			1.6×10 ⁻¹¹	2.0		G41
Br + H ₂ CO → HBr + HCO	223–480	1.7×10 ⁻¹¹	800	1.1×10 ⁻¹²	1.2	125	G42
Br + CH ₂ =C(CH ₃)CHO → products	301			2.3×10 ⁻¹¹ (1 atm air)	1.5		G43
Br + CH ₃ C(O)CH=CH ₂ → products	301			1.9×10 ⁻¹¹ (1 atm air)	1.5		G44
Br + CH ₂ =C(CH ₃)CH=CH ₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{M}}$ X $\xrightarrow{\text{O}_2}$ products	210–298	(See Note)					G45
Br + CH ₂ =C(CH ₃)CH=CH ₂ → CH ₂ =C(·CH ₂)CH=CH ₂ + HBr	526–673	1.2×10 ⁻¹¹	2100	1.0×10 ⁻¹⁴	2.0	200	G46
Br–CH ₂ =C(CH ₃)CH=CH ₂ + O ₂ → products	297			3.2×10 ⁻¹³	1.5		G47
Br + OCIO → BrO + ClO	267–423	2.6×10 ⁻¹¹	1300	3.4×10 ⁻¹³	2.0	300	G48

Reaction	Temperature Range of Exp. Data (K) ^a	A-Factor	E/R	k(298 K) ^b	f(298 K) ^c	g	Note
Br + Cl ₂ O → BrCl + ClO	220–402	2.1×10 ⁻¹¹	470	4.3×10 ⁻¹²	1.3	150	G49
Br + Cl ₂ O ₂ → products	223–298	5.9×10 ⁻¹²	170	3.3×10 ⁻¹²	1.3	200	G50
BrO + O ₃ → products	298	<i>1×10⁻¹²</i>	<i>>3200</i>	<2×10 ⁻¹⁷			G51
BrO + NO → NO ₂ + Br	224–425	8.8×10 ⁻¹²	–260	2.1×10 ⁻¹¹	1.15	130	G52
BrO + NO ₂ \xrightarrow{M} BrONO ₂		(See Table 2-1)					
BrO + NO ₃ → products	298			1.0×10 ⁻¹²	3.0		G53
BrO + ClO → Br + OClO	200–400	9.5×10 ⁻¹³	–550	6.0×10 ⁻¹²	1.2	100	G54
→ Br + ClOO		2.3×10 ⁻¹²	–260	5.5×10 ⁻¹²	1.2	100	
→ BrCl + O ₂		4.1×10 ⁻¹³	–290	1.1×10 ⁻¹²	1.2	100	
BrO + BrO → products	220–348	1.5×10 ⁻¹²	–230	3.2×10 ⁻¹²	1.15	150	G55
OBRO + O ₃ → products	298			<1.5×10 ⁻¹⁵			G56
OBRO + NO → products	240–350	2.4×10 ⁻¹³	–610	1.8×10 ⁻¹²	3	200	G57
CH ₂ BrO ₂ + NO → CH ₂ O + NO ₂ + Br	298	<i>4×10⁻¹²</i>	<i>–300</i>	1.1×10 ⁻¹¹	1.5	200	G58

Shaded areas indicate changes or additions since JPL10-6. Italicized blue text denote estimates.

^a Temperature range of available experimental data. This is not necessarily the range of temperature over which the recommended Arrhenius parameters are applicable. See the corresponding note for each reaction for such information.

^b Units are cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹.

^c f(298 K) is the uncertainty factor at 298 K. To calculate the uncertainty at other temperatures, use the expression:

$$f(T) = f(298\text{ K}) \exp \left| g \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298} \right) \right|$$

Note that the exponent is an absolute value.

1.7 HO_x Reactions

1.7.1 Table 1B: HO_x Reactions

Reaction	Temperature Range of Exp. Data (K) ^a	A-Factor	E/R	k(298 K) ^b	f(298 K) ^c	g	Note
O + OH → O ₂ + H	136–515	1.8×10 ⁻¹¹	-180	3.3×10 ⁻¹¹	1.15	50	B1
O + HO ₂ → OH + O ₂	229–391	3.0×10 ⁻¹¹	-200	5.9×10 ⁻¹¹	1.05	50	B2
O + H ₂ O ₂ → OH + HO ₂	283–386	1.4×10 ⁻¹²	2000	1.7×10 ⁻¹⁵	1.2	100	B3
H + O ₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{M}}$ HO ₂		(See Table 2-1)					
H + O ₃ → OH + O ₂	196–424	1.4×10 ⁻¹⁰	470	2.9×10 ⁻¹¹	1.1	40	B4
H + HO ₂ → 2 OH	245–300	7.2×10 ⁻¹¹	0	7.2×10 ⁻¹¹	1.2	100	B5
→ O + H ₂ O		1.6×10 ⁻¹²	0	1.6×10 ⁻¹²	1.5	100	
→ H ₂ + O ₂		6.9×10 ⁻¹²	0	6.9×10 ⁻¹²	1.4	100	
OH + O ₃ → HO ₂ + O ₂	190–357	1.7×10 ⁻¹²	940	7.3×10 ⁻¹⁴	1.15	50	B6
OH + H ₂ → H ₂ O + H	200–1050	2.8×10 ⁻¹²	1800	6.7×10 ⁻¹⁵	1.05	100	B7
OH + HD → products	248–418	5.0×10 ⁻¹²	2130	4.0×10 ⁻¹⁵	1.15	50	B8
OH + OH → H ₂ O + O	233–580	1.8×10 ⁻¹²	0	1.8×10 ⁻¹²	1.25	50	B9
$\xrightarrow{\text{M}}$ H ₂ O ₂		(See Table 2-1)					
OH + HO ₂ → H ₂ O + O ₂	252–420	4.8×10 ⁻¹¹	-250	1.1×10 ⁻¹⁰	1.15	50	B10
OH + H ₂ O ₂ → H ₂ O + HO ₂		(See Note)					B11
HO ₂ + O ₃ → OH + 2O ₂	197–413	1.0×10 ⁻¹⁴	490	1.9×10 ⁻¹⁵	1.15	80	B12
HO ₂ + HO ₂ → H ₂ O ₂ + O ₂	222–1120	3.0×10 ⁻¹³	-460	1.4×10 ⁻¹²	1.15	100	B13
$\xrightarrow{\text{M}}$ H ₂ O ₂ + O ₂		2.1×10 ⁻³³ [M]	-920	4.6×10 ⁻³² [M]	1.2	200	
HO ₂ + HO ₂ · H ₂ O → products	298–350	5.4×10 ⁻¹¹	410	1.4×10 ⁻¹¹	2	100	B14

Shaded areas indicate changes or additions since JPL10-6.

^a Temperature range of available experimental data. This is not necessarily the range of temperature over which the recommended Arrhenius parameters are applicable. See the corresponding note for each reaction for such information.

^b Units are cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹.

^c f(298 K) is the uncertainty factor at 298 K. To calculate the uncertainty at other temperatures, use the expression:

$$f(T) = f(298 \text{ K}) \exp \left| g \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298} \right) \right|$$

Note that the exponent is an absolute value.