New interpretable deep learning model to monitor real-time PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations from satellite data
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ABSTRACT

Particulate matter with a mass concentration of particles with a diameter less than 2.5 μm (PM$_{2.5}$) is a key air quality parameter. A real-time knowledge of PM$_{2.5}$ is highly valuable for lowering the risk of detrimental impacts on human health. To achieve this goal, we developed a new deep learning model-EntityDenseNet to retrieve ground-level PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations from Himawari-8, a geostationary satellite providing high temporal resolution data. In contrast to the traditional machine learning model, the new model has the capability to automatically extract PM$_{2.5}$ spatio-temporal characteristics. Validation across mainland China demonstrates that hourly, daily and monthly PM$_{2.5}$ retrievals contain the root-mean-square errors of 26.85, 25.3, and 15.34 μg/m$^3$, respectively. In addition to a higher accuracy achievement when compared with various machine learning inversion methods (backpropagation neural network, extreme gradient boosting, light gradient boosting machine, and random forest), EntityDenseNet can “peek inside the black box” to extract the spatio-temporal features of PM$_{2.5}$. This model can show, for example, that PM$_{2.5}$ levels in the coastal city of Tianjin were more influenced by air from Hebei than Beijing. Further, EntityDenseNet can still extract the seasonal characteristics that demonstrate that PM$_{2.5}$ is more closely related within three month groups over mainland China: (1) December, January and February, (2) March, April and May, (3) July, August and September, even without meteorological information. EntityDenseNet has the ability to obtain high temporal resolution satellite-based PM$_{2.5}$ data over China in real-time. This could act as an important tool to improve our understanding of PM$_{2.5}$ spatio-temporal features.

1. Introduction

Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 μm (PM$_{2.5}$, i.e., a fine-mode aerosol) is an environmental parameter of great concern worldwide (Apte et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2014). The ground-level PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations have been monitored around the world (Liang et al., 2020; van Donkelaar et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2018), but spatial coverage is lacking and inhomogeneous, making it difficult to capture its variability and patterns (Han et al., 2015). To overcome this limitation, satellite-based remote sensing of aerosols (aerosol optical depth, AOD) has been widely used to estimate PM$_{2.5}$ (van Donkelaar et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2019; Sayer et al., 2014).

Various remote sensing approaches have been developed to retrieve PM$_{2.5}$ from satellite-derived AOD (Guo et al., 2014; Gupta et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2017; Koelmeijer et al., 2006; Kokhanovsky et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2014; Sorek-Hamer et al., 2015; Zhang and Li, 2015). However, inherent limitations still exist. The AOD is a columnar quantity encompassing aerosols of all sizes. Fine mode AOD (fAOD) is correlated more closely with PM$_{2.5}$ and is a better predictor for estimating surface PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (Zhang and Li, 2013; Yan et al., 2017). However, the fine mode fraction (FMF, fAOD = AOD × FMF) varies largely over land and is subject to great uncertainties during satellite retrievals (Yan et al., 2019), and thus is not generated in the current version of C6 MODIS global scale aerosol products (Levy et al., 2013). Further, in AOD-PM$_{2.5}$ modeling, rich spectral information contained in satellite measurements is rarely used. In addition to the satellite visible band, the short-wave infrared (Shen et al., 2018) and thermal infrared (Amanollahi et al., 2013) bands can also provide unique information required for estimating surface particulate matter concentration. Unfortunately, the application of full spectral information from satellite for PM$_{2.5}$ retrieval is still highly limited.
Polar-orbiting satellites only provide one measurement daily, during daytime hours, and this makes it incapable of monitoring temporal evolution of air quality. The Himawari-8, a new-generation Japanese meteorological satellite launched on 7 October 2014 and first released data on 7 July 2015, provides regional AOD data at a 10-min interval, but no real-time PM$_{2.5}$ data. Hoff and Christopher (2009) reviewed over 200 studies and concluded that the precision of PM$_{2.5}$ from AOD was ±30% (maximum) and estimates were only achieved with additional input parameters (e.g., meteorological and atmospheric profile information). However, obtaining meteorological and atmospheric profile data at equal satellite spatial resolution (e.g., 5 km or 1 km) and coverage by model simulation is highly time consuming, and this hinders real-time PM$_{2.5}$ retrieval. Hence, the ability for satellite to still achieve ±30% precision to monitor PM$_{2.5}$ without meteorological or atmospheric profile information is under question.

In recent years, machine learning methods have increased in

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the proposed EntityDenseNet in this study.
popularity as a method for estimating PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations using satellite data (Liu et al., 2017; Mao et al., 2017). However, classical machine learning methods possess intrinsic limitations, which makes it challenging for satellite-based PM$_{2.5}$ retrieval. For example, the neural network approach cannot directly use categorical data (Guo and Berkhahn, 2016). The neural network requires all input variables and output variables to be numeric (Yan et al., 2015), thus, the One-Hot encoding is typically used for converting the categorical variable which is then input into neural network training and prediction (Chren, 1998; Liu et al., 2002). However, there are two key shortcomings to One-Hot encoding: (1) it often requires an unrealistic level of computational resources, and; (2) it treats different categorical variables absolutely independently of each other and often ignores the informative relationships between them (Guo and Berkhahn, 2016). Therefore, as deep learning is usually a neural network-based model, one of the key issues to overcome was determining how to handle and learn the information using categorical variables. Finally, interpreting the spatio-temporal features from deep learning neural networks also remains challenging. Although Reichstein et al. (2019) indicated that deep machine learning models may act as a promising tool to extract spatial–temporal features from the data, the processes required to open and interpret this “black box” model are difficult.

In this study, we propose a new interpretable deep learning model called EntityDenseNet to estimate real-time ground-level PM$_{2.5}$ from Himawari-8 satellite data. The EntityDenseNet is able to extract PM$_{2.5}$ spatio-temporal characteristics automatically during the training process. In contrast to the previous models, the EntityDenseNet uses full spectral information to directly retrieve PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. A comprehensive discussion of this method and comparisons with BPNN, extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost), Light Gradient Boosting Machine (LightGBM), and RF is also presented.

2. Data and methods

2.1. Himawari-8 data

The Himawari-8 reflectance (Bands 1 to 6) and brightness temperature (Bands 7 to 16) data of a spatial resolution of 5 km were extracted from the L1 Gridded Data at 10 min intervals during daytime (UTC 1:00–6:00) from January 2016 to June 2019. The cloud mask was based on Ishida and Nakajima (2009). The Top-Of-Atmosphere (TOA) reflectance used as the input data for the proposed network is calculated from the albedo reflectance data:

$$\rho^* = \frac{\text{albedo}}{\cos(\delta_0)}$$

where $\rho^*$ is the TOA reflectance and $\delta_0$ is the solar zenith angle.

2.2. PM$_{2.5}$ elevation and light density data

Hourly PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were collected for the same period from 1434 monitoring stations across mainland China. The Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM) was used to measure PM$_{2.5}$ concentration at each site. You et al. (2016) showed that the uncertainty in PM$_{2.5}$ measured daily is $\pm 1.5$ µg/m$^3$. In this study, to test the ability of the proposed model in dealing with abnormal values, no extreme PM$_{2.5}$ values (e.g., PM$_{2.5}$ > 800 µg/m$^3$) are excluded.

The digital elevation model (DEM) data of 30 m resolution was obtained from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org). Light density data were provided by the Earth Observation Group of the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI). We downloaded the annual 2016 Version 1 Nighttime VIIRS Day/Night (VIIRS Cloud Mask - Outlier Removed - Nighttime Lights) for this study (https://ngdc.noaa.gov/eog/viirs/).
2.3. EntityDenseNet

The structure of EntityDenseNet method is presented in Fig. 1. The input data is first separated into two data types: categorical variables and continuous variables. The categorical variables include year, month, date, hour, China administrative divisions and day type (weekday or holiday). The continuous variables consist of TOA reflectance from Himawari-8 bands 1 to 6, brightness temperature data from Himawari-8 bands 7 to 16, satellite zenith angle (SEZ), solar zenith angle (SOZ), satellite azimuthal angle (SEA), solar azimuthal angle (SOA), relative azimuth angle, scattering angle, longitude, latitude, light density, Digital Elevation Model (DEM), and Normalized Vegetation Index (NDVI). The details of calculating scattering angle and NDVI are shown in the Supplementary material.

To process the categorical variables, rather than the One-Hot encoding method, we used the Entity Embeddings method (Guo and Berkhahn, 2016). Guo and Berkhahn (2016) demonstrated that this method not only speeds up neural networks compared with one-hot encoding, but also helps the neural network to learn the intrinsic relationship between the categorical variables. In the proposed EntityDenseNet, we introduced the embedding layer to implement the Entity Embeddings method, and the purpose of this layer is to map the discrete categorical variable to the vector of continuous numbers. In the embedding layer, a random matrix was first initialized as $m \times D$:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  v_{1,1} & \cdots & v_{1,D} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  v_{m,1} & \cdots & v_{m,D}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where $m$ is the number of unique levels of a categorical variable (for example, if we want to map month categorical variable Jan-Dec to embedding vectors, the $m$ is set to 12) and $D$ is a hyper parameter that can be between 1 and $m - 1$. It should be noted that we do not have to specify the $m \times D$ matrix values manually as they are trainable parameters, in other words, matrix values learned by the model during training. Thus, first, the $m \times D$ matrix is randomly initialized and set as parameters to EntityDenseNet model. Then, the $m \times D$ matrix values are gradually adjusted via backpropagation during the training process, and finally it is determined when the model has been trained. In Fig. 2, we use the categorical variable “Month” as an example to show how the embedding layer works in this EntityDenseNet model. A $12 \times D$ matrix

![Flowchart describing the entire training process of EntityDenseNet.](image-url)
is randomly initialized first, and each row (1 × D vector) of this matrix corresponds to specific month information. This 1 × D vector is appended to the continuous variables for neural network training and prediction as shown in Fig. 2. The values of hyperparameter D and v in the 12 × D matrix is updated and finally determined during the network training phase.

Each continuous variable \( x_i \) is first normalized by the Z-score method (is shown in the Supplementary material) before being inputted into the EntityDenseNet (Ben Khalifa et al., 2013). As shown in Fig. 1, the merged categorical and continuous variables are input into a batch normalization (BN) layer. The purpose of the BN layer is to ensure that the data in each layer is distributed equally, which greatly accelerates the learning speed of neural networks (Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015). Each of the two hidden layers in EntityDenseNet includes one fully connected layer, one rectified linear unit (ReLU) layer, one BN layer, and one dropout layer (Yan et al., 2020). We used the ReLU as the activation function in this study because it can overcome the problems of saturation and vanishing gradients (Nair and Hinton, 2010). To prevent overfitting, we introduced a dropout layer to each hidden layer. The dropout method has been demonstrated to significantly reduce overfitting and improve the performance of neural networks (Srivastava et al., 2014). The feed-forward operation in the hidden layer of EntityDenseNet can be described as:

\[
G^m = r^m \times y^m
\]

\[
x_i^{m+1} = W_i^{m+1}G^m + b_i^{m+1}
\]

\[
R_i^{m+1} = BN[f(x_i^{m+1})]
\]

where \( m \) is the index of the hidden layer, \( y^m \) is the vector of outputs from layer \( m \), \( G^m \) is the thinned vector of \( y^m \) by the dropout layer, \( r^m \) is a vector of independent Bernoulli random variables, each of which has probability \( p \) of being 1 and probability 1-\( p \) of being 0. \( x^m \) is the vector of inputs into layer \( m \); \( W^m \) and \( b^m \) are the weights and biases of layer \( m \), respectively. \( R_i^{m+1} \) is the output from hidden layer \( m + 1 \) at the neuron node \( i \). The \( f() \) function is the ReLU activation function (Supplementary), \( BN[] \) is the BN function, the details of which are discussed in Ioffe and Szegedy (2015).

### 2.4. Network training

Fig. 3 is a flowchart describing the entire training process of...
Fig. 6. Mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentration during the test data period from 1 January 2019 to 1 June 2019 from ground-based measurements and EntityDenseNet retrieval.

Fig. 7. The differences between provincial averaged EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ and ground-based PM$_{2.5}$ (EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ minus ground-based PM$_{2.5}$).
EntityDenseNet. The main purpose of EntityDenseNet is to establish the nonlinear relationship between satellite spectral measurements and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. Because the ground-based PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration is given in an hourly scale, the 10-min resolution of the satellite spectral data and satellite geometry are averaged to the same hourly scale in the training data. The integrated training data collected from ground-based PM$_{2.5}$ and satellite data are for the period from 2016 to 2018, which contains 4,490,474 samples. The initialization scheme for EntityDenseNet is based on the Xavier initialization method (Glorot and Bengio, 2010), which confirms that the weights are not extremely small or big during the propagation of the network, and which initializes the weights from a distribution:

$$w \sim U \left(-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{n_1 + n_2}}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{n_1 + n_2}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $w$ is the weight at each layer, $U$ is the uniform distribution in the interval $(-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{n_1 + n_2}}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{n_1 + n_2}})$, and $n$ is the size of the previous layer.

The hyperparameters in EntityDenseNet, including the value $D$ and $v$ in the Embedding matrix (Fig. 2), the number of neurons in the fully connected layer, and the value of the dropout rate in the dropout layer, are determined by annual 3-fold cross-validation, the details of which are shown in Fig. 3. We used the final values of the hyperparameters to train all the data (2016–2018) for the network. The collected data from 2019 (437,351 samples) was used to test the trained network system.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Training data validation</th>
<th>Test data validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>RMSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPNN</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>31.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XGBoost</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>28.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>36.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LightGBM</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>28.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EntityDenseNet</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>24.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5. Extraction of spatio-temporal features from EntityDenseNet

In this study we employed the Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) method (McInnes et al., 2018) to extract PM$_{2.5}$ spatio-temporal characteristics from EntityDenseNet. UMAP is a dimension reduction technique that can provide a low dimensional representation of the high dimensional feature space of an embedded categorical variable while maintaining global structures of the original feature space. The UMAP algorithm consists of two steps: creation of a graph in high dimensions followed by an optimization step to find the most similar graph in lower dimensions. To construct the initial high-dimensional graph, UMAP builds a fuzzy simplicial complex which represents a weighted graph, with edge weights representing the likelihood that two points are connected. When the fuzzy simplicial complex is constructed, UMAP projects the data into lower dimensions via a force-directed graph layout algorithm (McInnes et al., 2018).

Mapping the matrix of embedding layer from the trained EntityDenseNet down to 3D with UMAP enables us to calculate the Cosine Distance between different variables in this 3D Coordinate System. The Cosine Distance is a metric that considers the correlation of the features vector (Zou and Umugwaneza, 2008) and is defined as
CosineDistance = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2}} \tag{7}

where $x_i$ and $y_i$ are two vectors with length $n$. The smaller the Cosine Distance, the higher the correlation between two features.

2.6. Other machine learning methods

We compared four additional machine learning models to EntityDenseNet: (1) XGBoost (Chen and Guestrin, 2016), (2) BPNN (Mao et al., 2017), (3) LightGBM (Ke et al., 2017), and (4) RF (Hu et al., 2017). The hyperparameters for these methods are determined through the same training process (3-fold cross-validation) (Fig. 3), and these models used the same input data as EntityDenseNet.

3. Results

3.1. EntityDenseNet performance

Fig. 4 consist of scatterplots depicting the relationship between measured and estimated PM$_{2.5}$ for both training and test data sets. It should be noted that extremely high values were not eliminated from all the datasets to enable us to test the performance of the EntityDenseNet model in the presence of abnormal values. In our validation of the hourly test data, the linear regression between the EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ and ground-based PM$_{2.5}$ resulted in a slope of 0.56, a y-intercept of 19.45, a coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 0.63, and an RMSE of 26.85 μg/m$^3$. As shown in Fig. 4, a small change in $R^2$/RMSE between training ($R^2 = 0.68$, RMSE = 24.05 μg/m$^3$) and hourly test data sets indicates a slight over-fitting in the EntityDenseNet model. For the daily and monthly averaged data validation, the RMSE values are 25.3 and 15.34 μg/m$^3$ while the $R^2$ values are 0.65 and 0.77, respectively.

The RMSE values for the EntityDenseNet estimates of PM$_{2.5}$ in each of the 1434 monitoring sites are shown in Fig. 5. Overall, 63.7% and 12.7% of the monitoring sites report RMSE values below 30 μg/m$^3$ and over 40 μg/m$^3$, respectively. The sites with RMSE values $> 30$ μg/m$^3$ are mainly concentrated in northern China, especially in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region and Shandong, whereas low RMSE values ($< 20$ μg/m$^3$) generally appear in western and southeastern China.

Fig. S1, the light density corresponds to the degree of economic development, identifying the highly-developed regions of eastern China, such as the BTH region, Yangtze River Delta (YRD), and Pearl River Delta (PRD), which are the three major metropolitan areas in eastern China (Haas and Ban, 2014). Clearly, EntityDenseNet generates favorable results in PRD ($R^2$ mainly $< 20$ μg/m$^3$) and YRD ($R^2$ mainly $< 30$ μg/m$^3$), but the estimates in the BTH region are rough ($R^2 > 30$ μg/m$^3$).

Fig. 6 shows the mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations during the test data period from 1 January 2019 to 1 June 2019 from ground-based measurements and EntityDenseNet retrieval. The PM$_{2.5}$ spatial distribution estimates of EntityDenseNet are highly similar to those from PM$_{2.5}$ measurements. Both low value areas in western and southern China and high value areas in northern and central China are well captured. However, some overestimation still appears in central and southern China; and some areas with high values of PM$_{2.5}$ are underestimated. To better understand this bias in PM$_{2.5}$ retrieval, the differences between provincial averaged EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ and ground-based PM$_{2.5}$ (EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ minus ground-based PM$_{2.5}$) are shown in Fig. 7. The results show that overestimates of provincial averaged PM$_{2.5}$ are mainly centered in southern China, Beijing, Tibet and Qinghai, with the highest overestimation of 8.74 μg/m$^3$ in Tibet. Meanwhile, the underestimates of provincial averaged PM$_{2.5}$ are mainly concentrated in northern China and Xinjiang, with the largest underestimate of 6.06 μg/m$^3$ occurring in Liaoning. In general, the performance of EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ is better than previous studies with regard to overall
bias. Lyu et al. (2017) indicated that the mean bias of estimated PM$_{2.5}$ is approximately 10 μg/m$^3$ in western, southeastern and northeastern China using the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model. However, the bias of EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ is 8.74 μg/m$^3$, 6.06 μg/m$^3$ and 4 μg/m$^3$ in these three regions, respectively. Xiao et al., 2018b employed an ensemble machine learning model and showed that the estimated PM$_{2.5}$ has large residuals in most stations in YRD (about −5 μg/m$^3$) and northern China (>10 μg/m$^3$). But in this study, EntityDenseNet performs well in YRD with a bias less than 4 μg/m$^3$. Additionally, the bias is typically below 4 μg/m$^3$ in northern China.

### 3.2. Comparison of EntityDenseNet with other machine learning methods

Table 1 lists the performance evaluations of five methods of PM$_{2.5}$ retrieval. In both training and test data validation, only EntityDenseNet achieves an $R^2$ value above 0.6 and it generates the lowest RMSE values (training: 24.05 μg/m$^3$, test: 26.85 μg/m$^3$). Next to EntityDenseNet, XGBoost also performs better than the other methods in terms of RMSE (training: 28.33 μg/m$^3$, test: 28.69 μg/m$^3$). Notably, in the test data validation, the $R^2$ of LightGBM is similar to that of XGBoost, but its RMSE (29.39 μg/m$^3$) is slightly higher than that of XGBoost. From Table 1, the performance estimates of the BPNN (training: $R^2 = 0.49$/RMSE = 31.98 μg/m$^3$, test: $R^2 = 0.28$/RMSE 38.03 μg/m$^3$) and RF (training: $R^2 = 0.41$/RMSE = 36.13 μg/m$^3$, test: $R^2 = 0.41$/RMSE 33.87 μg/m$^3$) methods indicate unsatisfactory performances compared to the other methods, suggesting that these two methods lack the capacity to model the nonlinear relationship between satellite spectral measurements and ground-based PM$_{2.5}$.

The RMSE and $R^2$ estimates based on validation data sets from 31 provinces of China are shown Figs. 8 and 9, respectively (the detailed values in different provinces is shown in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). In all five methods, high RMSE values (>30 μg/m$^3$) are associated with the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) regions and northeastern China. Low RMSE values (<15 μg/m$^3$) are generally observed in EntityDenseNet estimates in most provinces of mainland China. Among the different methods, RMSE levels derived from BPNN are high in 16 provinces (RMSE > 30 μg/m$^3$). Fig. 9 presents the distribution of local $R^2$ values, which can be used to examine the spatial heterogeneity and performance of each model. EntityDenseNet identifies the most number of provinces with high $R^2$ values ($R^2 > 0.6$, 6 provinces), followed by XGBoost and LightGBM (3 provinces). In contrast, BPNN identifies no province with $R^2 > 0.6$, which indicates that this method has the worst fit between the ground-based PM$_{2.5}$ and the estimated PM$_{2.5}$. In general, EntityDenseNet performs the best at predicting PM$_{2.5}$ among all the five models, in terms of RMSE and $R^2$ values over the whole of China or in 31 selected provinces. The local $R^2$ distributions reported by Ma et al. (2014) reveal $R^2$ values of 0.48–0.54 in BTH, 0.56–0.60 in YRD, >0.58 in PRD, and 0.54–0.58 in the SC region. Lyu et al. (2017) reported $R^2$ values of 0.4–0.6 in BTH, 0.4–0.6 in YRD, 0.3–0.5 in PRD, and 0.3–0.5 in the SC region. In contrast to these results, the $R^2$ values from EntityDenseNet reflect better model performance in BTH (0.6–0.7) and the SC region (0.4–0.6). Similar levels of performance were observed in YRD (0.4–0.6) but $R^2$ values were low in PRD (0.3–0.4).

EntityDenseNet estimates were also compared with previous studies (Table 2). The majority of the selected studies are based on the yearly-based validation (Table 2), indicating that the test data is completely independent of the training dataset. The results suggest that although there were no input meteorological data and AOD, EntityDenseNet estimates were as good as or better than previous methods in terms of model accuracy. For example, the model proposed by Xiao et al., 2018b reported an $R^2$ of 0.58 for their Ensemble ML but our study improved this value to 0.63 in the EntityDenseNet estimates. The extremely high ground-based PM$_{2.5}$ values were not eliminated, and EntityDenseNet can still achieve the estimation uncertainty at 26.85 μg/m$^3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Training data</th>
<th>Test data</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>R$^2$</th>
<th>RMSE (μg/m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensemble ML model</td>
<td>2018–2016</td>
<td>2017–2018</td>
<td>1,116,416</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space-time extremely randomized forest</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,176,616</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep belief network</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4,181</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space-time regression modeling</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>25,601</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML + GAM</td>
<td>2016–2018</td>
<td>2017–2018</td>
<td>407,049</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EntityDenseNet</td>
<td>2016–2018</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>437,351</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>26.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GAM is generalized additive model, CV# is annually iterated cross-validation, CV* is sample-based cross-validation.
3.3. EntityDenseNet application

Fig. 10 presents the output of EntityDenseNet with mainland China as the area of coverage. Currently, the speed of retrieval of one full satellite image of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration over mainland China for EntityDenseNet is 5 s (CPU: Intel I3, 3.60 GHz; Memory: 16 GB; GPU: NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1060 6 GB). The results of EntityDenseNet reveal that the spatial characteristics of PM$_{2.5}$ are viewed well not only at a national scale, but also in regional and mesoscale views. In contrast to previous studies that have usually focused on retrieving the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations at hourly (Mao et al., 2017), daily (Shen et al., 2018), monthly (Xiao et al., 2018), seasonal (van Donkelaar et al., 2015) or annual (Lin et al., 2018) scales of temporal resolution. The temporal resolution of PM$_{2.5}$ retrieved by EntityDenseNet can be up to 10 min, which much more accurately depicts how PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations vary with time. Fig. 11 shows a case of EntityDenseNet capturing the subtle variation of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations; the right panels depict the general hourly variation of the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in southern Jiangsu (circled region), where the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration dropped significantly from 75–95 μg/m$^3$ to 60–75 μg/m$^3$ on 12:00 to 13:00, April 17, 2019. The left panels of Fig. 11 show PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations every 10 min during the same period, and illustrates the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in southern Jiangsu dropping slightly in the first half hour (12:00–12:30) and then dramatically decreasing in the next 10 min (12:30–12:40), and later decreasing slowly again until the end (12:40–13:00). Hence, EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ with its fine temporal resolution, estimates not only the general variation of PM$_{2.5}$, but it also captures sudden changes in PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. In addition, the results from EntityDenseNet are produced without a long time lag, thus generating near real-time surface PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations at a certain spatial scale. However, it should be noted that due to the lack of ground-based measurements data at the 10 min scale, the 10-min EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ product is not well validated compared to the hourly-level product.

Fig. S2 presents three cases of the application of EntityDenseNet, including true color maps (left), real local time (13:00) (middle), and daily averaged (right) PM$_{2.5}$ distributions in mainland China on April 15–17, 2019. The true color maps show the satellite image in this period including the real cloud covering over China. In cloud-free regions, the PM$_{2.5}$ spatial distributions as well as their temporal variations are well-retrieved. Northern China also showed high concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ during the same period. Another hotspot of high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations is Yunnan, where PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations can reach up to 45–55 μg/m$^3$. Fig. S2 confirms that EntityDenseNet can retrieve PM$_{2.5}$ data for a specific local time or daily temporal resolution covering a large spatial scale.

3.4. Spatio-temporal features extraction by EntityDenseNet

The spatial characteristics of PM$_{2.5}$ between different provinces of China extracted by EntityDenseNet is displayed in Fig. 12. The province features matrix from the trained EntityDenseNet embedding layer is mapped to 3D by UMAP (Fig. 12a). The Cosine Distance between different provinces was calculated based upon Fig. 12a. In the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, Tianjin had a closer Cosine Distance with Hebei (0.26) than with Beijing (0.45). This result illustrates that the PM$_{2.5}$ in Tianjin was influenced more by the PM$_{2.5}$ from Hebei than Beijing...
Fig. 11. Application of EntityDenseNet on April 17, 2019 from 12:00 to 13:00 (local time) over southern Jiangsu, China. This result is consistent with the WRF-Chem modeling outcome which demonstrated that PM$_{2.5}$ from Hebei had a greater contribution to Tianjin’s PM$_{2.5}$ than Beijing’s (Meng et al., 2020). Xue et al. (2014) also indicated that the contribution of Hebei to the PM$_{2.5}$ of Tianjin is 26%, while its contribution to Beijing is 24%. In Jiangxi, China, a closer correlation with the PM$_{2.5}$ from Hunan (Cosine Distance $= 0.51$) than the Hubel’s PM$_{2.5}$ (Cosine Distance $= 0.54$) or other neighboring provinces (Cosine distance $> 0.6$) was identified. According to source apportionment of the PM$_{2.5}$ in Jiangxi, other provinces contributed approximately 48% of PM$_{2.5}$ annually (Xue et al., 2014). In the present study, EntityDenseNet further indicated that the Jiangxi PM$_{2.5}$ was most closely associated with Hunan. From Fig. 12, EntityDenseNet improves the understanding of the impact of PM$_{2.5}$ pollution on the provincial scale.

The month features matrix from the trained EntityDenseNet embedding layer is mapped by UMAP (Fig. 13). Although meteorological data were not used in retrieving PM$_{2.5}$, EntityDenseNet can still detect the seasonal features of PM$_{2.5}$ over China. Three groups of months with the closest Cosine Distance were observed: December, January and February (DJF), March, April and May (MAM), as well as July, August and September (JAS). DJF and MAM are winter and spring months in China and have similar meteorological conditions. Similarity level of PM$_{2.5}$ was detected by EntityDenseNet in these two seasons even without temperature and humidity information. While the group JAS are not technically deemed summer months in China, the interpretation by EntityDenseNet revealed that the PM$_{2.5}$ is more closely related in JAS, rather than in the summer months of June, July and August.

4. Discussion

Fig. 4 shows a few extreme PM$_{2.5}$ values that may be due to mal-functioning PM$_{2.5}$ monitors. In total, extremely high PM$_{2.5}$ values (> 400 μg/m$^3$) comprise 0.07% (N = 4,490,474) and 0.05% (N = 437,351) of the total observations of the training and test data sets, respectively. Significant underestimation of PM$_{2.5}$ may be due to local PM$_{2.5}$ sources such as coal burning, atmospheric dust, or the influence of marine salt in coastal provinces (Luo et al., 2017). Also, as the test data were gathered during winter and spring when many provinces in northern China experience snow, low-level clouds, and frequent haze days, all of which may result in PM$_{2.5}$ underestimations in northern China (Geng et al., 2015). In addition, it is possible that very low PBLH may constrain PM$_{2.5}$ to the lower atmosphere, thus shortening the path length for satellite observation and increasing the uncertainty between satellite spectral measurements and PM$_{2.5}$ (Gupta and Christopher, 2009).

The BTH region is one of the most populated and polluted regions in China due to its long-term rapid economic development and industrialization (Ma et al., 2016a, 2016b). The RMSE for EntityDenseNet PM$_{2.5}$ in this region is relatively high as shown in Fig. 5. High RMSE values in the BTH region agree with results of previous studies. For example, Zheng et al. (2015) estimated the RMSE of three major metropolitan areas in China (BTH region, YRD, and PRD), and found that the RMSE of the BTH region is ~1.3 and ~1.9 times higher than that of the YRD and PRD regions, respectively. Chen et al. (2018) calculated the provincial RMSE in China from three methods: the RF Model, Generalized Additive Model (GAM) and Non-linear Exposure-Lag-Response Model (NEM), and found that the RMSE values of both GAM and NEM are the highest in BTH region. From these results, we suggest that the accurate retrieval of PM$_{2.5}$ in the BTH region by satellite data is an issue that needs further exploration.

In the EntityDenseNet application, the only source of input data is satellite data. This feature means that it can retrieve real-time data on the spatial distribution of PM$_{2.5}$ when the satellite data is available (the JAM releases the Himawari-8 data in real-time). Compared with other machine learning methods (Table 1), EntityDenseNet provides a better solution for estimating ground-level PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations from satellite measurements data. This because with EntityDenseNet, we have introduced many features and technologies that improve the capacity to describe the nonlinear relationship between satellite data and PM$_{2.5}$. In particular: (1) the Entity Embeddings method can learn the informative relationship between categorical variables (Guo and Berkhahn, 2016); (2) a dropout layer (Srivastava et al., 2014) for each hidden layer in the EntityDenseNet has been introduced to reduce the overfitting problem; (3) the problems of saturation and vanishing gradients are overcome by using the ReLU as the activation function (Nair and Hinton, 2010); and (4) the data between the inputs in EntityDenseNet are normalized by BN technology (Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015), which fixes the mean and variance of the inputs to accelerate the training process. As shown in Table 1, when processing the same information, EntityDenseNet is more accurate and reliable than BPNN, XGBoost, LightGBM, and RF. Moreover, the EntityDenseNet can map similar values close to each other within the 3D space by UMAP (McInnes et al., 2018) and this reveals the intrinsic properties of the categorical variables. This ability greatly improves interpretability of the EntityDenseNet inversion result. EntityDenseNet can detect the PM$_{2.5}$ relationship between different provinces and months (Figs. 12 and 13). This form of deep learning approach-based spatio-temporal analysis could be extremely valuable for other earth data and scenarios (refer to Reichstein et al., 2019). However, the spatio-temporal features of PM$_{2.5}$ are complicated, as these may be influenced by other pollutants. Hence, further studies are required to comprehensively validate the interpretation of the EntityDenseNet.
5. Conclusions

This study developed an interpretable deep learning model named EntityDenseNet for real-time ground-level retrieval of PM_{2.5} concentrations from satellite measurement data. The PM_{2.5} were retrieved from Himawari-8 observations over mainland China in 2019. As validated with ground-based measurements, the results obtained by EntityDenseNet reflected a good retrieval capability at hourly, daily and monthly time scales with RMSE values of 26.85, 25.3 and 15.34 μg/m³, respectively. Compared to BPNN, XGBoost, LightGBM, and RF the performance of EntityDenseNet on a common set of training and test data shows the best correlation (R²) and smallest difference (RMSE) values with the true PM_{2.5} data. In addition, EntityDenseNet can outperform most models presented in previous studies for both temporal resolution and predictive accuracy. The spatial features of PM_{2.5} interpreted by EntityDenseNet demonstrates that in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei area, the PM_{2.5} in Tianjin is more subject to impacts from Hebei than Beijing, which is consistent with previous studies (Meng et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2014). This relationship was further explored using the deep learning approach in the present study. Without meteorological data, EntityDenseNet can still detect the seasonal features of PM_{2.5} over China, which indicated that the PM_{2.5} appears increasingly similar in three month groups over mainland China: (1) December, January and February, (2) March, April and May, (3) July, August and September.

This study revealed that a new, deep learning model achieves higher accuracy when retrieving real-time ground-level PM_{2.5} concentrations directly from satellite spectral data. Further, it has the interpretable ability to extract spatio-temporal features of PM_{2.5}. Owing to the high temporal resolution of Himawari-8, this new, deep learning model can estimate large-scale PM_{2.5} concentrations at hourly intervals. This will improve our understanding of how PM_{2.5} concentrations vary in fine temporal resolution. We have created a EntityDenseNet Cloud Platform (http://49.233.1.40:8888/), it is free to access and researchers can use it for their own data modeling. The guide of this Cloud Platform is shown in the Supplementary material.
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